arXiv:1603.09735v2 [math.AG] 5 Sep 2016

Period differential equations for the families of $K3$ surfaces with 2 parameters derived from the reflexive polytopes

Atsuhira Nagano

September 7, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we study the period mappings for the families of $K3$ surfaces derived from the 3-dimensional 5-verticed reflexive polytopes. We determine the lattice structures, the period differential equations and the projective monodromy groups. Moreover, we show that one of our period differential equations coincides with the unifomizing differential equation of the Hilbert modular orbifold for the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

Introduction

A $K3$ surface S is characterized by the condition $K_S = 0$ and simply connectedness. It means that a $K3$ surface is a 2-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold. Batyrev [Ba] introduced the notion of the reflexive polytope for the study of the Calabi-Yau manifold.

In this article, we use the 3-dimensional reflexive polytopes with at most terminal singularities. These polytopes with 5-vertices are given as

$$P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{0.1}$$

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{0.2}$$

$$P_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{0.3}$$

where the column vectors correspond to the coordinates of the vertices (see [Ot] or [KS]).

Each polytope P_j defines a 2-parameter family $\mathcal{F}_j = \{S_j(\lambda, \mu)\}$ of $K3$ surfaces given by the affine equation

$$\begin{cases} S_0(\lambda,\mu) & : F_0(x,y,z) = xyz^2(x+y+z+1) + \lambda xyz + \mu = 0, \\ S_1(\lambda,\mu) & : F_1(x,y,z) = xyz(x+y+z+1) + \lambda x + \mu y = 0, \\ S_2(\lambda,\mu) & : F_2(x,y,z) = xyz(x+y+z+1) + \lambda x + \mu = 0, \\ S_3(\lambda,\mu) & : F_3(x,y,z) = xyz(x+y+z+1) + \lambda z + \mu xy = 0, \\ S_4(\lambda,\mu) & : F_4(x,y,z) = xyz(x+y+z+1) + \lambda xy + \mu = 0. \end{cases} \tag{0.4}$$

Recently, Ishige [I2] has made a research on the family $\mathcal{F}_4$ derived from the polytope P_4 in (0.3). He made a computer aided approximation of a generator of the monodromy group of his differential equation.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J28, 14K99, 11F41, 33C70, 52B10
Keywords: $K3$ surfaces ; Hilbert modular orbifolds ; period maps ; period differential equations ; toric varieties
Running head: $K3$ surfaces with 2 parameters

There, he noticed that his monodromy group is isomorphic to the extended Hilbert modular group for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$.

Inspired by Ishige's research, we study the other families $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$. Among them, the family $\mathcal{F}_0$ is especially interesting, for this is related to the Hilbert modular orbifold for the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. In the classical theory of the elliptic functions and the Gauss hypergeometric differential equations, the differential equation

$$\lambda(1-\lambda)\frac{d^2\eta}{d\lambda^2} + (1-2\lambda)\frac{d\eta}{d\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}\eta = 0$$

has two remarkable properties. This is a period differential equation for the family of elliptic curves $\{S(\lambda)\}$, with

$$S(\lambda) : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda).$$

Also, this is the uniformizing differential equation of the orbifold $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma(2)$, where $\mathbb{H}$ is the upper half plane. We can find an analogous story on our family $\mathcal{F}_0$: The period differential equation for $\mathcal{F}_0$ is the uniformizing differential equation of the Hilbert modular orbifold for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

This paper is organized as the following.

In Section 1, we give explicit defining equations for the families $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ of $K3$ surfaces and elliptic fibrations for them.

In Section 2, we construct the period mappings for our families. They are multivalued analytic mappings from Zariski open domains in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ to 2-dimensional domains of type IV. Then, we determine the Picard numbers for generic members of $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ (Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3).

In Section 3, we determine the Néron-Severi lattice and the transcendental lattice A_j $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ for a generic member of $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ (Theorem 3.1).

In Section 4, we obtain the period differential equations for $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ (Theorem 4.1). They have the 4-dimensional space of solutions.

In Section 5, applying the Torelli theorem and the lattice theory, we prove that the projective monodromy group of the period differential equation for $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ is equal to the group $PO^+(A_j, \mathbb{Z})$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ for the transcendental lattice A_j $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ (Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.3).

In particular, the projective monodromy group of the period differential equation for $\mathcal{F}_0$ is isomorphic to the group $\langle PSL(2, \mathcal{O}), \tau\rangle$, where $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, $PSL(2, \mathcal{O})$ is the Hilbert modular group and τ is the involution on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}$ given by the coordinate exchange.

In Section 6, we show that our period differential equation for $\mathcal{F}_0$ coincides with the uniformizing differential equation of the Hilbert modular orbifold $(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2, \mathcal{O}), \tau\rangle$ given by Sato [Sa] under an explicit birational transformation (Theorem 6.3).

1 A family of $K3$ surfaces and elliptic fibration

A 3-dimensional reflexive polytope with at most terminal singularities is defined by the intersection of several half spaces

$$a_j x + b_j y + c_j z \leq 1, \quad (a_j, b_j, c_j) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \quad (j = 1, \cdots, s)$$

in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with the conditions

(a) every vertex is a lattice point,

(b) the origin is the unique inner lattice point,

(c) only the vertices are the lattice points on the boundary.

If a reflexive polytope satisfies the condition

(d) every face is a triangle and its 3 vertices generate the lattice,

it is called a Fano polytope. Among the polytopes in (0.1), (0.2) and (0.3), P_0, P_2, P_3 and P_4 are the Fano polytopes.

Let us start from the polytope P_0 in (0.1). We obtain a family of algebraic $K3$ surfaces from P_0 by the following canonical procedure (for detail, see [Od] Chapter 2):

(i) Make a toric 3-fold X from the reflexive polytope P_0. This is a rational variety.

(ii) Take a divisor D on X that is linearly equivalent to $-K_X$.

(iii) Generically, D is represented by a $K3$ surface.

In this case, D is given by

$$a_1 + a_2t_1 + a_3t_2 + a_4t_3 + a_5\frac{1}{t_3} + a_6\frac{1}{t_1t_2t_3^2} = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

with complex parameters $a_1, \cdots, a_6$. Every monomial in the left hand side corresponds to a lattice point in P_0. Setting

$$x = \frac{a_2t_1}{a_1}, \quad y = \frac{a_3t_2}{a_1}, \quad z = \frac{a_4t_3}{a_1}, \quad \lambda = \frac{a_4a_5}{a_1^2}, \quad \mu = \frac{a_2a_3a_4^2a_6}{a_1^5}, \tag{1.2}$$

we obtain a family of $K3$ surfaces $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{S_0(\lambda, \mu)\}$ with two parameters λ, μ with

$$S_0(\lambda, \mu) : xyz^2(x + y + z + 1) + \lambda xyz + \mu = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

In the same way, we obtain the corresponding families of $K3$ surfaces $\mathcal{F}_j = \{S_j(\lambda, \mu)\}$ for P_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) in (0.2) given by the affine equations

$$S_1(\lambda, \mu) : xyz(x + y + z + 1) + \lambda x + \mu y = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

$$S_2(\lambda, \mu) : xyz(x + y + z + 1) + \lambda x + \mu = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

$$S_3(\lambda, \mu) : xyz(x + y + z + 1) + \lambda z + \mu xy = 0. \tag{1.6}$$

We give an elliptic fibration for every $K3$ surface. It is represented in the form

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(z)x_3 - g_3(z),$$

where g_2 (g_3, resp.) is a polynomial of z with $5 \leq \deg(g_2) \leq 8$ ($7 \leq \deg(g_3) \leq 12$, resp.). In this paper, we call it the Kodaira normal form. From the Kodaira normal form, we obtain singular fibres of elliptic fibration.

In this section, we give elliptic fibrations for our families $\mathcal{F}_j$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, 3$) of $K3$ surfaces. The singular fibres of these fibration are given as in Table 1.

Family	$\mathcal{F}_0$	$\mathcal{F}_1$	$\mathcal{F}_2$	$\mathcal{F}_3$
Singular Fibres	$I_3 + I_{15} + 6I_1$	$I_9 + I_3^* + 6I_1$	$I_1^* + I_{11} + 6I_1$	$I_9 + I_9 + 6I_1$

Table 1.

1.1 Elliptic fibration for $\mathcal{F}_0$

Proposition 1.1. (1) *The surface $S_0(\lambda, \mu)$ is birationally equivalent to the surface defined by the equation*

$$y_1^2 = 4x_0^3 + (\lambda^2 + 2\lambda z + z^2 + 2\lambda z^2 + 2z^3 + z^4)x_0^2 + (-2\lambda\mu z - 2\mu z^2 - 2\mu z^3)x_0 + \mu^2 z^2. \tag{1.7}$$

This equation gives an elliptic fibration of $S(\lambda, \mu)$ over z-sphere.

(2) *The elliptic surface given by* (1.7) *has the holomorphic sections*

$$\begin{cases} Q : z \mapsto (x_0, y_1, z) = (0, \mu z, z), \\ R : z \mapsto (x_0, y_1, z) = (0, -\mu z, z). \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

Proof. (1) By the birational transformation

$$x = \frac{-\mu}{x_0}, \quad y = \frac{-\lambda x_0 - y_1 + \mu z - x_0 z - x_0 z^2}{2x_0 z},$$

(1.3) is transformed to (1.7).

(2) This is clear. □

Set

$$\Lambda_0 = \{(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | \lambda\mu(\lambda^2(4\lambda-1)^3 - 2(2+25\lambda(20\lambda-1))\mu - 3125\mu^2) \neq 0\}. \tag{1.9}$$

Proposition 1.2. *Suppose $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_0$. The elliptic surface given by (1.7) has the singular fibres of type I_3 over $z = 0$, of type I_{15} over $z = \infty$ and other six fibres of type I_1.*

Proof. (1.7) is described in the Kodaira normal form

$$y_1^2 = 4x_1^3 - g_2(z)x_1 - g_3(z), z \neq \infty, \tag{1.10}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} g_2(z) = \frac{1}{216}(18\lambda^4 + 432\lambda\mu z + 72\lambda^3 z(1+z) + 108\lambda^2 z^2(1+z)^2 \\ \qquad\qquad +72\lambda z^3(1+z)^3 + 18z^2(1+z)(24\mu + z^2(1+z)^3)), \\ g_3(z) = \frac{-1}{216}(\lambda^6 + 36\lambda^3\mu z + 6\lambda^5 z(1+z) + 108\lambda^2\mu z^2(1+z) + 15\lambda^4 z^2(1+z)^2 \\ \qquad +108\lambda\mu z^3(1+z)^2 + 20\lambda^3 z^3(1+z)^3 + 15\lambda^2 z^4(1+z)^4 + 6\lambda z^5(1+z)^5 \\ \qquad\qquad +z^2(216\mu^2 + 36\mu z^2(1+z)^3 + z^4(1+z)^6)), \end{cases}$$

and

$$y_2^2 = 4x_2^3 - h_2(z_1)x_2 - h_3(z_1), z_1 \neq \infty, \tag{1.11}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} h_2(z_1) = 2\mu z_1^5(1 + z_1 + \lambda z_1^2) + \frac{1}{12}(1 + z_1 + \lambda z_1^2)^4, \\ h_3(z_1) = -(\frac{1}{6}\mu z_1^5(1 + z_1 + \lambda z_1^2)^3 + \frac{1}{216}(1 + z_1 + \lambda z_1^2)^6 + \mu^2 z_1^{10}), \end{cases}$$

where $z_1 = 1/z$. We have the discriminant of the right hand side of (1.10) for x_1 ((1.11) for x_2, resp.):

$$\begin{cases} D_0 = 64\mu^3 z^3(\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 z + 27\mu z + 3\lambda z^2 + 3\lambda^2 z^2 + z^3 + 6\lambda z^3 + 3z^4 + 3\lambda z^4 + 3z^5 + z^6), \\ D_\infty = 64\mu^3 z_1^{15}(1 + 3z_1 + 3z_1^2 + 3\lambda z_1^2 + z_1^3 + 6\lambda z_1^3 + 3\lambda z_1^4 + 3\lambda^2 z_1^4 + 3\lambda^2 z_1^5 + 27\mu z_1^5 + \lambda^3 z_1^6), \end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$

respectively.

From these data, we obtain the required statement (see [Kod]). □

Remark 1.1. *We have a parametrization*

$$\lambda(a) = \frac{(a-1)(a+1)}{5}, \quad \mu(a) = \frac{(2a-3)^3(a+1)^2}{3125}$$

of the locus $\lambda^2(4\lambda-1)^3 - 2(2+25\lambda(20\lambda-1))\mu - 3125\mu^2 = 0$. It is a rational curve. In Section 4, we shall obtain the above Λ_0 as the complement of the singular locus of the period differential equation for $\mathcal{F}_0$ in the (λ, μ)-space.

Remark 1.2. *Let χ denote the Euler characteristic. According to* [Kod] Theorem 12.1 *(see also* [Shg]*), an elliptic fibred algebraic surface S over $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is a $K3$ surface if and only if $\chi(S) = 24$ provided S is given in the Kodaira normal form. Due to this criterion and* Proposition 1.2*, we can check directly that $S_0(\lambda, \mu)$ is a $K3$ surface for $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_0$.*

For $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_0$, let O be the zero of the Mordell-Weil group of sections of the elliptic fibration given by (1.7) over $\mathbb{C}(z)$ (see Section 3.2). O is given by the set of the points at infinity on every fibre. Let Q and R be the sections in (1.8). R is the inverse element of Q in the Mordell-Weil group. Let F be a general fibre of this fibration. Let $I_3 = a_0 + a_1 + a_1'$ be the irreducible decomposition of the fibre at $z = 0$ given as in Figure 1. We may suppose $O \cap a_0 \neq \phi, Q \cap a_1 \neq \phi$ and $R \cap a_1' \neq \phi$. By the same way, let $I_{15} = b_0 + b_1 + \cdots + b_7 + b_1' + \cdots + b_7'$ be the irreducible decomposition of the fibre at $z = \infty$ given as in Figure 2. We may suppose $O \cap b_0 \neq \phi, Q \cap b_5 \neq \phi$ and $R \cap b_5' \neq \phi$.



Figure 1:



Figure 2:

We set a sublattice $L_0 = L_0(\lambda, \mu) \subset H_2(S_0(\lambda, \mu), \mathbb{Z})$ for $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_0$ by

$$L_0(\lambda, \mu) = \langle b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, Q, b_6, b_7, b_1', b_2', b_3', b_4', b_5', R, b_6', b_7', F, O \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{1.13}$$

Set

$$A_{18}(-1)=\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & & & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & & & & \\ & 1 & -2 & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & -2 & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & -2 & 1 & \\ & & & & & 1 & -2 & \end{pmatrix}}_{18}.$$

Let $E_{i,j}$ $(1\le i,j\le 18)$ be the matrix unit. We obtain the corresponding intersection matrix M_0 for L_0:

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 =& A_{18}(-1)+2E_{17,17}-(E_{6,7}+E_{7,6})+(E_{5,7}+E_{7,5})-(E_{14,15}+E_{15,14})+(E_{13,15}+E_{15,13})\\ &-(E_{8,9}+E_{9,8})-(E_{16,17}+E_{17,16})+(E_{6,17}+E_{17,6})+(E_{8,16}+E_{16,8})+(E_{14,17}+E_{17,14}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

We have

$$\det(M_0)=-5. \tag{1.15}$$

Therefore, the generators of L_0 are independent.

1.2 Elliptic fibration for $\mathcal{F}_1$

Proposition 1.3. *The surface $S_1(\lambda,\mu)$ is birationally equivalent to the surface defined by the equation*

$$z_1^2=y_1^3+(\mu^2+2\mu x_1+x_1^2-4x_1^3)y_1^2+(-8\lambda\mu x_1^3-8\lambda x_1^4)y_1+16\lambda^2x_1^6. \tag{1.16}$$

This equation gives an elliptic fibration of $S_1(\lambda,\mu)$ with the holomorphic section

$$Q: x_1\mapsto (x_1,y_1,z_1)=(x_1,0,4\lambda x_1^3). \tag{1.17}$$

Proof. By the birational transformation

$$x=-\frac{2x_1^2y_1}{-4\lambda x_1^3+\mu y_1+x_1y_1+z_1}, y=\frac{y_1^2}{2x_1(-4\lambda x_1^3+\mu y_1+x_1y_1+z_1)}, z=-\frac{-4\lambda x_1^3+\mu y_1+x_1y_1+z_1}{2x_1y_1},$$

(1.4) is transformed to (1.16). □

(1.16) gives an elliptic fibration for the surface $S_1(\lambda,\mu)$. Set

$$\Lambda_1=\{(\lambda,\mu)\in\mathbb{C}^2|\lambda\mu(729\lambda^2-54\lambda(27\mu-1)+(1+27\mu)^2\neq 0)\}. \tag{1.18}$$

Proposition 1.4. *Suppose $(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_1$. The elliptic surface given by* (1.16) *has the singular fibres of type I_9 over $x_1=0$, of type I_3^* over $x_1=\infty$ and other six fibres of type I_1.*

Proof. (1.16) is described in the Kodaira normal form

$$z_2^2=4y_2^3-g_2(x_1)y_2-g_3(x_1),\quad x_1\neq\infty, \tag{1.19}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} g_2(x_1)=-4\Big(-\frac{\mu^4}{3}-\frac{4\mu^3x_1}{3}-2\mu^2x_1^2-\frac{4\mu x_1^3}{3}-8\lambda\mu x_1^3+\frac{8\mu^2x_1^3}{3}-\frac{x_1^4}{3}-8\lambda x_1^4+\frac{16\mu x_1^4}{3}+\frac{8x_1^5}{3}-\frac{16x_1^6}{3}\Big),\\ g_3(x_1)=-4\Big(\frac{2\mu^6}{27}+\frac{4\mu^5x_1}{9}+\frac{10\mu^4x_1^2}{9}+\frac{40\mu^3x_1^3}{27}+\frac{8\lambda\mu^3x_1^3}{3}-\frac{8\mu^4x_1^3}{9}+\frac{10\mu^2x_1^4}{9}\\ \qquad +8\lambda\mu^2x_1^4-\frac{32\mu^3x_1^4}{9}+\frac{4\mu x_1^5}{9}+8\lambda\mu x_1^5-\frac{16\mu^2x_1^5}{3}+\frac{2x_1^6}{27}+\frac{8\lambda x_1^6}{3}+16\lambda^2x_1^6-\frac{32\mu x_1^6}{9}\\ \qquad -\frac{32\lambda\mu x_1^6}{3}+\frac{32\mu^2x_1^6}{9}-\frac{8x_1^7}{9}-\frac{32\lambda x_1^7}{3}+\frac{64\mu x_1^7}{9}+\frac{32x_1^8}{9}-\frac{128x_1^9}{27}\Big), \end{cases}$$

and

$$z_3^2 = 4y_3^3 - h_2(x_2)y_3 - h_3(x_2), \quad x_2 \neq \infty, \tag{1.20}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} h_2(x_2) = -4\Big(-\frac{16x_2^2}{3} + \frac{8x_2^3}{3} - \frac{x_2^4}{3} - 8\lambda x_2^4 + \frac{16\mu x_2^4}{3} - \frac{4\mu x_2^5}{3} - 8\lambda\mu x_2^5 + \frac{8\mu^2 x_2^5}{3} - 2\mu^2 x_2^6 - \frac{4\mu^3 x_2^7}{3} - \frac{\mu^4 x_2^8}{3}\Big), \\ h_3(x_2) = -4\Big(-\frac{128x_2^3}{27} + \frac{32x_2^4}{9} - \frac{8x_2^5}{9} - \frac{32\lambda x_2^5}{3} + \frac{64\mu x_2^5}{9} + \frac{2x_2^6}{27} + \frac{8\lambda x_2^6}{3} + 16\lambda^2 x_2^6 - \frac{32\mu x_2^6}{9} \\ \qquad -\frac{32\lambda\mu x_2^6}{3} + \frac{32\mu^2 x_2^6}{9} + \frac{4\mu x_2^7}{9} + 8\lambda\mu x_2^7 - \frac{16\mu^2 x_2^7}{3} + \frac{10\mu^2 x_2^8}{9} + 8\lambda\mu^2 x_2^8 - \frac{32\mu^4 x_2^8}{9} \\ \qquad +\frac{40\mu^3 x_2^{11}}{27} + \frac{8\lambda\mu^3 x_2^9}{3} - \frac{8\mu^4 x_2^9}{9} + \frac{10\mu^4 x_2^{10}}{9} + \frac{4\mu^5 x_2^{11}}{9} + \frac{2\mu^6 x_2^{12}}{27}\Big), \end{cases}$$

where $x_1 = 1/x_2$. We have the discriminant of the right hand side of (1.19) for y_1 ((1.20) for y_2, resp.):

$$\begin{cases} D_0 = 256\lambda^2 x_1^9(\lambda\mu^3 - \mu^4 + 3\lambda\mu^2 x_1 - 4\mu^3 x_1 + 3\lambda\mu x_1^2 - 6\mu^2 x_1^2 + \lambda x_1^3 + 27\lambda^2 x_1^3 \\ \qquad -4\mu x_1^3 - 36\lambda\mu x_1^3 + 8\mu^2 x_1^3 - x_1^4 - 36\lambda x_1^4 + 16\mu x_1^4 + 8x_1^5 - 16x_1^6), \\ D_\infty = 256\lambda^2 x_2^9(-16 + 8x_2 - x_2^2 - 36\lambda x_2^2 + 16\mu x_2^2 + \lambda x_2^3 + 27\lambda^2 x_2^3 - 4\mu x_2^3 - 36\lambda\mu x_2^3 \\ \qquad 8\mu^2 x_2^3 + 3\lambda\mu x_2^4 - 6\mu^2 x_2^4 + 3\lambda\mu^2 x_2^5 - 4\mu^3 x_2^5 + \lambda\mu^3 x_2^6 - \mu^4 x_2^6). \end{cases}$$

From these deta, we obtain the required statement. □

The elliptic fibration given by (1.16) is illustrated in Figure 3.



Figure 3:

For this fibration, let O be the zero of the Mordell-Weil group, Q be the section in (1.17) and F be a general fibre. Note that $Q \cap a_3 \neq \phi$ at $x_1 = 0$ and $Q \cap c_2 \neq \phi$ at $x_1 = \infty$. Set

$$L_1 = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_4', a_3', a_2', a_1', c_1, b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, c_2, c_3, O, Q, F\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{1.21}$$

We have the following intersection matrix M_1 for L_1:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 =& A_{18}(-1) - (E_{8,9} + E_{9,8}) - (E_{14,15} + E_{15,14}) + (E_{13,15} + E_{15,13}) + (E_{3,17} + E_{17,3}) \\ &+ (E_{14,17} + E_{17,14}) - (E_{16,17} + E_{17,16}) + (E_{16,18} + E_{18,16}) - (E_{15,16} + E_{16,15}) + 2E_{18,18}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

We have $\det(M_1) = -9$. Therefore, the generators of L_1 are independent.

1.3 Elliptic fibration for $\mathcal{F}_2$

Proposition 1.5. *The surface $S_2(\lambda,\mu)$ is birationally equivalent to the surface defined by the equation*

$$z_1^2 = x_1^3 + (-4\lambda y + y^2 + 2y^3 + y^4)x_1^2 + (-8\mu y^3 - 8\mu y^4)x_1 + 16\mu^2 y^4. \tag{1.23}$$

This equation gives an elliptic fibration of $S_2(\lambda,\mu)$ with the holomorphic section

$$Q : y \mapsto (x_1, y, z_1) = (0, y, 4\mu y^2) \tag{1.24}$$

Proof. By the birational transformation

$$x = \frac{x_1^2}{2y(x_1y - 4\mu y^2 + x_1y + z_1)}, z = -\frac{x_1y - 4\mu y^2 + x_1y + z_1}{2x_1y},$$

(1.5) is transformed to (1.23). □

(1.23) gives an elliptic fibration for $S_2(\lambda,\mu)$. Set

$$\Lambda_2 = \{(\lambda,\mu) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | \lambda\mu(\lambda^2(1+27\lambda)^2 - 2\lambda\mu(1+189\lambda) + (1+576\lambda)\mu^2 - 256\mu^3) \neq 0\}. \tag{1.25}$$

Proposition 1.6. *Suppose $(\lambda,\mu) \in \Lambda_2$. The elliptic surface given by* (1.23) *has the singular fibres of type I_1^* over $y = 0$, of type I_{11} over $y = \infty$ and other six fibres of type I_1.*

Proof. (1.23) is described in the Kodaira normal form

$$z_2^2 = 4x_2^3 - g_2(y)x_2 - g_3(y), \quad y \neq \infty, \tag{1.26}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} g_2(y) = -4\Big(-\frac{16\lambda^2y^2}{3} + \frac{8\lambda y^3}{3} - 8\mu y^3 - \frac{y^4}{3} + \frac{16\lambda y^4}{3} - 8\mu y^4 - \frac{4y^5}{3} + \frac{8\lambda y^5}{3} - 2y^6 - \frac{4y^7}{3} - \frac{y^8}{3}\Big), \\ g_3(y) = -4\Big(-\frac{128\lambda^3y^3}{27} + \frac{32\lambda^2y^4}{9} - \frac{32\lambda\mu y^4}{3} + 16\mu^2y^4 - \frac{8\lambda y^5}{9} + \frac{64\lambda^2y^5}{9} + \frac{8\mu y^5}{3} - \frac{32\lambda\mu y^5}{3} + \frac{2y^6}{27} - \frac{32\lambda y^6}{9} \\ \qquad + \frac{32\lambda^2y^6}{9} + 8\mu y^6 + \frac{4y^7}{9} - \frac{16\lambda y^7}{3} + 8\mu y^7 + \frac{10y^8}{9} - \frac{32\lambda y^8}{9} + \frac{8\mu y^8}{3} + \frac{40y^9}{27} - \frac{8\lambda y^9}{9} + \frac{10y^{10}}{9} + \frac{4y^{11}}{9} + \frac{2y^{12}}{27}\Big), \end{cases}$$

and

$$z_3^2 = 4x_3^3 - h_2(y_1)x_3 - h_3(y_1), \quad y_1 \neq \infty, \tag{1.27}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} h_2(y_1) = -4\Big(-\frac{1}{3} - \frac{4y_1}{3} - 2y_1^2 - \frac{4y_1^2}{3} + \frac{8\lambda y_1^3}{3} - \frac{y_1^4}{3} + \frac{16\lambda y_1^4}{3} - 8\mu y_1^4 + \frac{8\lambda y_1^5}{3} - 8\mu y_1^5 - \frac{16\lambda^2 y_1^6}{3}\Big), \\ h_3(y_1) = -4\Big(\frac{2}{27} + \frac{4y_1}{9} + \frac{10y_1^2}{9} + \frac{40y_1^3}{27} - \frac{8\lambda y_1^3}{9} + \frac{10y_1^4}{9} - \frac{32\lambda y_1^4}{9} + \frac{8\mu y_1^4}{3} + \frac{4y_1^5}{9} - \frac{16\lambda y_1^5}{3} + 8\mu y_1^5 \\ \qquad + \frac{2y_1^6}{27} - \frac{32\lambda y_1^6}{9} + \frac{32\lambda^2 y_1^6}{9} + 8\mu y_1^6 - \frac{8\lambda y_1^7}{9} + \frac{64\lambda^2 y_1^7}{9} + \frac{8\mu y_1^9}{3} \\ \qquad - \frac{32\lambda\mu y_1^7}{3} + \frac{32\lambda^2 y_1^8}{9} - \frac{32\lambda\mu y_1^8}{3} + 16\mu^2 y_1^8 - \frac{128\lambda^3 y_1^9}{27}\Big), \end{cases}$$

where $y = 1/y_1$. We have the discriminant of the right hand side of (1.26) for x_2((1.27) for x_3, resp.):

$$\begin{cases} D_0 = -256\mu^2y^7(16\lambda^3 - 8\lambda^2y + 36\lambda\mu y - 27\mu^2y + \lambda y^2 - 16\lambda^2y^2 - \mu y^2 + 36\lambda\mu y^2 + 4\lambda y^3 \\ \qquad -8\lambda^2y^3 - 3\mu y^3 + 6\lambda y^4 - 3\mu y^4 + 4\lambda y^5 - \mu y^5 + \lambda y^6), \\ D_\infty = -256\mu^2y_1^{11}(\lambda + 4\lambda y_1 - \mu y_1 + 6\lambda y_1^2 - 3\mu y_1^2 + 4\lambda y_1^3 - 8\lambda^2 y_1^3 - 3\mu y_1^3 + \lambda y_1^4 - 16\lambda^2 y_1^4 \\ \qquad -\mu y_1^4 + 36\lambda\mu y_1^4 - 8\lambda^2 y_1^5 + 36\lambda\mu y_1^5 - 27\mu^2 y_1^5 + 16\lambda^3 y_1^6). \end{cases}$$

From these data, we obtain the required statement. □



Figure 4:

The elliptic fibration given by (1.23) is illustrated in Figure 4.

For this fibration, let O be the Mordell-Weil group, Q be the section in (1.24) and F be a general fibre. Note $Q \cap a_2 \neq \phi$ and $Q \cap c_2 \neq \phi$. Set

$$L_2 = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_5', a_4', a_3', a_2', a_1', c_1, b_0, b_1, c_2, c_3, O, Q, F\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{1.28}$$

We have the following intersection matrix M_2 for L_2:

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 =& A_{18}(-1) - (E_{10,11} + E_{11,10}) - (E_{15,16} + E_{16,15}) + (E_{4,17} + E_{17,4}) + (E_{14,17} + E_{17,4}) \\ & - (E_{14,15} + E_{15,14}) + (E_{13,15} + E_{15,13}) - (E_{16,17} + E_{17,16}) + (E_{16,18} + E_{18,16}) + 2E_{18,18}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.29}$$

We have $\det(M_2) = -9$.

1.4 Elliptic fibrations for $\mathcal{F}_3$

Proposition 1.7. *The surface $S_3(\lambda, \mu)$ is birationally equivalent to the surface defined by the equation*

$$y_1^2 = z_1^3 + (\lambda^2 + 2\lambda x_1 + x_1^2 - 4\mu x_1^2 - 4x_1^3)z_1^2 + 16\mu x_1^5 z_1. \tag{1.30}$$

This equation gives an elliptic fibration of $S_3(\lambda, \mu)$ with the holomorphic sections

$$\begin{cases} Q : z_1 \mapsto (x_1, y_1, z_1) = (x_1, 4\mu x_1^2(x_1 + \lambda), 4x_1^2\mu), \\ O' : z_1 \mapsto (x_1, y_1, z_1) = (x_1, 0, 0). \end{cases} \tag{1.31}$$

The section O' satisfies $2O' = O$.

Proof. By the birational transformation

$$x = \frac{2x_1^2(4\mu x_1^2 - z_1)}{y_1 + \lambda z_1 + x_1 z_2}, y = \frac{y_1 + \lambda z_1 + x_1 z_1}{2x_1(4\mu x_1^2 - z_1)}, z = -\frac{z_1(4\mu x_1^2 - z_1)}{2x_1(y_1 + \lambda z_1 + x_1 z_1)},$$

(1.6) is transformed to (1.30). □

(1.30) gives an elliptic fibration for $S_3(\lambda, \mu)$. Set

$$\Lambda_3 = \{(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^2 | \lambda\mu(729\lambda^2 - (4\mu - 1)^3 + 54\lambda(1 + 12\mu)) \neq 0\}. \tag{1.32}$$

Proposition 1.8. *Suppose $(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_3$. The elliptic surface given by* (1.30) *has the singular fibres of type I_{10} over $z=0$, of type I_2^* over $z=\infty$ and other six fibres of type I_1.*

Proof. (1.30) is described in the Kodaira normal form

$$y_2^2=4z_2^3-g_2(x_1)z_2-g_3(x_1),\quad x_1\neq\infty, \tag{1.33}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} g_2(x_1)=-4\Big(-\dfrac{\lambda^4}{3}-\dfrac{4\lambda^3x_1}{3}-2\lambda^2x_1^2+\dfrac{8\lambda^2\mu x_1^2}{3}-\dfrac{4\lambda x_1^3}{3}+\dfrac{8\lambda^2x_1^3}{3}+\dfrac{16\lambda\mu x_1^3}{3}\\ \qquad\qquad -\dfrac{x_1^4}{3}+\dfrac{16\lambda x_1^4}{3}+\dfrac{8\mu x_1^4}{3}-\dfrac{16\mu^2x_1^4}{3}+\dfrac{8x_1^5}{3}+\dfrac{16\mu x_1^5}{3}-\dfrac{16x_1^6}{3}\Big),\\ g_3(x_1)=-4\Big(\dfrac{2\lambda^6}{27}+\dfrac{4\lambda^5x_1}{9}+\dfrac{10\lambda^4x_1^2}{9}-\dfrac{8\lambda^4\mu x_1^2}{9}+\dfrac{40\lambda^3x_1^3}{27}-\dfrac{8\lambda^4x_1^3}{9}-\dfrac{32\lambda^3\mu x_1^3}{9}+\dfrac{10\lambda^2x_1^4}{9}-\dfrac{32\lambda^3x_1^4}{9}\\ \quad -\dfrac{16\lambda^2\mu x_1^4}{3}+\dfrac{32\lambda^2\mu^2x_1^4}{9}+\dfrac{4\lambda x_1^5}{9}-\dfrac{16\lambda^2x_1^5}{3}-\dfrac{32\lambda\mu x_1^5}{9}+\dfrac{16\lambda^2\mu x_1^5}{9}+\dfrac{64\lambda\mu^2x_1^5}{9}+\dfrac{2x_1^6}{27}-\dfrac{32\lambda x_1^6}{9}+\dfrac{32\lambda^2x_1^6}{9}\\ \quad -\dfrac{8\mu x_1^6}{9}+\dfrac{32\lambda\mu x_1^6}{9}+\dfrac{32\mu^2x_1^6}{9}-\dfrac{128\mu^3x_1^6}{27}-\dfrac{8x_1^7}{9}+\dfrac{64\lambda x_1^7}{9}+\dfrac{16\mu x_1^7}{9}+\dfrac{64\mu^2x_1^7}{9}+\dfrac{32x_1^8}{9}+\dfrac{64\mu x_1^8}{9}-\dfrac{128x_1^9}{27}\Big), \end{cases}$$

and

$$y_3^2=4z_3^3-h_2(x_2)z_3-h_3(x_2),\quad x_2\neq\infty, \tag{1.34}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} h_2(x_2)=-4\Big(-\dfrac{16x_2^2}{3}+\dfrac{8x_2^3}{3}+\dfrac{16\mu x_2^3}{3}-\dfrac{x_2^4}{3}+\dfrac{16\lambda x_2^4}{3}+\dfrac{8\mu x_2^4}{3}-\dfrac{16\mu^2x_2^4}{3}-\dfrac{4\lambda x_2^5}{3}\\ \qquad\qquad +\dfrac{8\lambda^2x_2^5}{3}+\dfrac{16\lambda\mu x_2^5}{3}-2\lambda^2x_2^6+\dfrac{8\lambda^2\mu x_2^6}{3}-\dfrac{4\lambda^3x_2^7}{3}-\dfrac{\lambda^4x_2^8}{3},\Big),\\ h_3(x_2)=-4\Big(-\dfrac{128x_2^3}{27}+\dfrac{32x_2^4}{9}+\dfrac{64\mu x_2^4}{9}-\dfrac{8x_2^5}{9}+\dfrac{64\lambda x_2^5}{9}+\dfrac{16\mu x_2^5}{9}+\dfrac{64\mu^2x_2^5}{9}+\dfrac{2x_2^6}{27}-\dfrac{32\lambda x_2^6}{9}+\dfrac{32\lambda^2x_2^6}{9}-\dfrac{8\mu x_2^6}{9}\\ \quad +\dfrac{32\lambda\mu x_2^6}{9}+\dfrac{32\mu^2x_2^6}{9}-\dfrac{128\mu^3x_2^6}{27}+\dfrac{4\lambda x_2^7}{9}-\dfrac{16\lambda^2x_2^7}{3}-\dfrac{32\lambda^2\mu^2x_2^7}{9}+\dfrac{16\lambda^2\mu x_2^7}{9}-\dfrac{32\lambda^3x_2^8}{9}+\dfrac{64\lambda\mu^2x_2^7}{9}\\ \quad +\dfrac{10\lambda^2x_2^8}{9}-\dfrac{16\lambda^2\mu x_2^8}{3}+\dfrac{40\lambda^3x_2^9}{27}-\dfrac{8\lambda^4x_2^9}{9}-\dfrac{32\lambda^3\mu x_2^9}{9}+\dfrac{10\lambda^4x_2^{10}}{9}-\dfrac{8\lambda^4\mu x_2^{10}}{9}+\dfrac{4\lambda^5x_2^{11}}{9}+\dfrac{2\lambda^6x_2^{12}}{9}\Big), \end{cases}$$

where $x_1=1/x_2$. We have the discriminant of the right hand side of (1.33) for z_2 ((1.34) for z_3 resp):

$$\begin{cases} D_0=-256\mu^3x_1^{10}(\lambda^4+4\lambda^3x_1+6\lambda^2x_1^2-8\lambda^2\mu x_1^2+4\lambda x_1^3-8\lambda^2x_1^3-16\lambda\mu x_1^3\\ \qquad\qquad +x_1^4-16\lambda x_1^4-8\mu x_1^4+16\mu^2x_1^4-8x_1^5-32\mu x_1^5+16x_1^6),\\ D_\infty=-256\mu^2x_2^8(16-8x_2-32\mu x_2+x_2^2-16\lambda x_2^2-8\mu x_2^2+16\mu^2x_2^2\\ \qquad\qquad +4\lambda x_2^3-8\lambda^2x_2^3-16\lambda\mu x_2^3+6\lambda^2x_2^4-8\lambda^2\mu x_2^4+4\lambda^3x_2^5+\lambda^4x_2^6). \end{cases}$$

From these data, we obtain the required statement. □

The elliptic fibration given by (1.30) is illustrated in Figure 5.

For this fibration, let O be the zero of the Mordell-Weil group, Q be the section in (1.31) and F be a general fibre. Set

$$L_3'=\langle a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4,a_0',a_4',a_3',a_2',a_1',c_1,b_0,b_1,b_2,c_2,c_3,O,F,Q\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{1.35}$$

We have $\det(L_3')=-36$.

We need another elliptic fibration.



Figure 5:

Proposition 1.9. *The surface $S_3(\lambda,\mu)$ is birationally equivalent to the surface defined by the equation*

$$y_1^2 = x_1^3 + (\mu^2 + 2\mu z + z^2 + 2\mu z^2 + 2z^3 + z^4)x_1^2 + (-8\lambda\mu z^3 - 8\lambda z^4 - 8\lambda z^5)x_1 + 16\lambda^2 z^6. \tag{1.36}$$

This equation gives an elliptic fibration of $S_3(\lambda,\mu)$ with the holomorphic sections

$$\begin{cases} Q_0 : z \mapsto (x_1, y_1, z) = (0, 4\lambda z^3, z), \\ R_0 : z \mapsto (x_1, y_1, z) = (0, -4\lambda z^3, z). \end{cases} \tag{1.37}$$

Proof. By the birational transformation

$$x = -\frac{4\lambda z^2}{x_1'}, y = \frac{-\mu x_1' - y_1' - x_1' z - x_1' z^2 + 4\lambda z^3}{2x_1' z},$$

(1.6) is transformed to (1.36). □

Proposition 1.10. *Suppose $(\lambda,\mu) \in \Lambda_3$. The elliptic surface given by* (1.36) *has the singular fibres of type I_9 over $z = 0$, of type I_9 over $z = \infty$ and other six fibres of type I_1.*

Proof. (1.36) is described in the Kodaira normal form

$$y_2^2 = 4x_2^3 - g_2(z)x_2 - g_3(z), \quad z \neq \infty, \tag{1.38}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} g_2(z) = -4\Big(-\frac{\mu^4}{3} - \frac{4\mu^3 z}{3} - 2\mu^2 z^2 - \frac{4\mu^3 z^2}{3} - \frac{4\mu z^3}{3} - 8\lambda\mu z^3 - 4\mu^2 z^3 - \frac{z^4}{3} - 8\lambda z^4 \\ \qquad -4\mu z^4 - 2\mu^2 z^4 - \frac{4z^5}{3} - 8\lambda z^5 - 4\mu z^5 - 2z^6 - \frac{4\mu z^6}{3} - \frac{4z^7}{3} - \frac{z^8}{3}\Big), \\ g_3(z) = -4\Big(\frac{2\mu^6}{27} + \frac{4\mu^5 z}{9} + \frac{10\mu^4 z^2}{9} + \frac{4\mu^5 z^2}{9} + \frac{40\mu^3 z^3}{27} + \frac{8\lambda\mu^3 z^3}{3} + \frac{20\mu^4 z^3}{9} + \frac{10\mu^2 z^4}{9} \\ \quad +8\lambda\mu^2 z^4 + \frac{40\mu^3 z^4}{9} + \frac{10\mu^4 z^4}{9} + \frac{4\mu z^5}{9} + 8\lambda\mu z^5 + \frac{40\mu^2 z^5}{9} + 8\lambda\mu^2 z^5 + \frac{40\mu^3 z^5}{9} + \frac{2z^6}{27} \\ \quad +\frac{8\lambda z^6}{3} + 16\lambda^2 z^6 + \frac{20\mu z^6}{9} + 16\lambda\mu z^6 + \frac{20\mu^2 z^6}{3} + \frac{40\mu^3 z^6}{27} + \frac{4z^7}{9} + 8\lambda z^7 + \frac{40\mu z^7}{9} + 8\lambda\mu z^7 \\ \qquad +\frac{10z^8}{9} + 8\lambda z^8 + \frac{40\mu z^8}{9} + \frac{10\mu^2 z^8}{9} + \frac{40z^9}{27} + \frac{8\lambda z^9}{3} + \frac{20\mu z^9}{9} + \frac{10z^{10}}{9} + \frac{4\mu z^{10}}{9} + \frac{4z^{11}}{9} + \frac{2z^{12}}{27}\Big), \end{cases}$$

and

$$y_3^2 = 4x_3^3 - h_2(z_1)x_3 - h_3(z_1), \quad z_1 \neq \infty, \tag{1.39}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} h_2(z_1) = -4\Big(-\frac{1}{3} - \frac{4z_1}{3} - 2z_1 - \frac{4\mu z_1^2}{3} - \frac{4z_1^3}{3} - 8\lambda z_1^3 - 4z_1^3 - \frac{z_1^4}{3} - 8\lambda z_1^4 \\ \qquad\qquad -4\mu z_1^4 - 2\mu^2 z_1^2 - \frac{4\mu z_1^5}{3} - 8\lambda\mu z_1^5 - 4\mu^2 z_1^5 - 2\mu^2 z_1^6 - \frac{4\mu^3 z_1^6}{3} - \frac{4\mu^3 z_1^7}{3} - \frac{\mu^4 z_1^8}{3}\Big), \\ h_3(z_1) = -4\Big(\frac{2}{27} + \frac{4z_1}{9} + \frac{10z_1^2}{9} + \frac{4\mu z_1^2}{9} + \frac{40z_1^3}{27} + \frac{8\lambda z_1^3}{3} + \frac{20\mu z_1^3}{9} + \frac{10z_1^4}{9} + 8\lambda z_1^4 \\ \quad + \frac{40\mu z_1^4}{9} + \frac{10\mu^2 z_1^4}{9} + \frac{4z_1^5}{9} + 8\lambda z_1^5 + \frac{40\mu z_1^5}{9} + 8\lambda\mu z_1^5 + \frac{40\mu^2 z_1^5}{9} + \frac{2z_1^6}{27} + \frac{8\lambda z_1^6}{3} + 16\lambda^2 z_1^6 \\ \quad + \frac{20\mu z_1^6}{9} + 16\lambda\mu z_1^6 + \frac{20\mu^2 z_1^6}{3} + \frac{40\mu^3 z_1^6}{27} + \frac{4\mu z_1^7}{9} + 8\lambda\mu^2 z_1^7 + \frac{40\mu^3 z_1^7}{9} + \frac{10\mu^2 z_1^8}{9} + 8\lambda\mu^2 z_1^8 \\ \quad + \frac{10\mu^4 z_1^8}{9} + \frac{40\mu^3 z_1^8}{9} + \frac{40\mu^3 z_1^9}{27} + \frac{8\lambda\mu^3 z_1^9}{3} + \frac{20\mu^4 z_1^9}{9} + \frac{10\mu^4 z_1^{10}}{9} + \frac{4\mu^5 z_1^{10}}{9} + \frac{4\mu^5 z_1^{11}}{9} + \frac{2\mu^6 z_1^{12}}{27}\Big), \end{cases}$$

where $z = 1/z_1$ We have the discriminant of the right hand side of (1.38) for ${x'}_2$((1.39) for ${x'}_3$, resp.):

$$\begin{cases} D_0 = 256\lambda^3 z^9(\mu^3 + 3\mu^2 z + 3\mu z^2 + 3\mu^2 z^2 + z^3 + 27\lambda z^3 + 6\mu z^3 + 3z^4 + 3\mu z^4 + 3z^5 + z^6), \\ D_\infty = 256\lambda^3 z_1^9(1 + 3z_1 + 3z_1^2 + 3\mu z_1^2 + z_1^3 + 27\lambda z_1^3 + 6\mu z_1^3 + 3\mu z_1^4 + 3\mu^2 z_1^4 + 3\mu^2 z_1^5 + \mu^3 z_1^6). \end{cases}$$

From these data, we obtain the required statement. □

This fibration is illustrated in Figure 6.



Figure 6:

For this fibration, let O be the zero of the Mordell-Weil group, Q_0 and R_0 be the sections in (1.37) and F be a general fibre. Set

$$L_3 = \langle d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_4', d_3', d_2', d_1', e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_3', e_2', O, Q_0, R_0, F\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{1.40}$$

We have the following intersection matrix M_3 for L_3:

$$\begin{aligned} M_3 =& A_{18}(-1) + 2E_{18,18} - (E_{8,9} + E_{9,8}) - (E_{14,15} + E_{15,14}) - (E_{12,13} + E_{13,12}) \\ &+ (E_{3,16} + E_{16,3}) + (E_{6,17} + E_{17,6}) + (E_{11,16} + E_{16,11}) + (E_{13,17} + E_{17,13}) \\ &- (E_{15,16} + E_{16,15}) + (E_{15,18} + E_{18,15}) + (E_{16,18} + E_{18,16}) - (E_{16,17} + E_{17,16}) \end{aligned} \tag{1.41}$$

We have $\det(M_3) = -9$.

2 The Picard numbers

For a general $K3$ surface S, the homology group $H_2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank 22. The intersection form of $H_2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ is given by

$$E_8(-1)\oplus E_8(-1)\oplus U\oplus U\oplus U, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$E_8(-1)=\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & & & & \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & & & O & & \\ & 1 & -2 & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & -2 & 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 & -2 & 1 & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 & -2 & 0 & \\ & & O & & 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ & & & & & & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad U=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathrm{NS}(S)$, the Néron-Severi lattice of S, denote the sublattice in $H_2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ generated by the divisors on S. We call the rank of $\mathrm{NS}(S)$ the Picard number. The orthogonal complement $\mathrm{Tr}(S)=\mathrm{NS}(S)^{\perp}$ in $H_2(S,\mathbb{Z})$ is called the transcendental lattice of S. Let $(C\cdot D)$ be the intersection number of 2-cycles C and D on S.

In this section, we define the period mappings and determine the Picard numbers for our families. We state the precise argument only for the case of the family $\mathcal{F}:=\mathcal{F}_0$ of the $K3$ surfaces $S(\lambda,\mu):=S_0(\lambda,\mu)$.

2.1 S-marked $K3$ surfaces

The lattice $L:=L_0$ in (1.13) is contained in $\mathrm{NS}(S(\lambda,\mu))$ and of rank 18. So we have

Proposition 2.1.

$$\text{rank } \mathrm{NS}(S(\lambda,\mu))\geq 18.$$

We have also

Proposition 2.2. *L is a primitive sublattice of $H_2(S(\lambda,\mu),\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. By (1.15), we have $\det(L)=-5$. It does not contain any square factor. So L is primitive. □

Definition 2.1. *For a $K3$ surface $S(\lambda,\mu)$ ($(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda$), set*

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_5=b_1,\ \gamma_6=b_2,\ \gamma_7=b_3,\ \gamma_8=b_4,\ \gamma_9=b_5,\ \gamma_{10}=Q, \\ \gamma_{11}=b_6,\ \gamma_{12}=b_7,\ \gamma_{13}=b'_1,\ \gamma_{14}=b'_2,\ \gamma_{15}=b'_3,\ \gamma_{16}=b'_4, \\ \gamma_{17}=b'_5,\ \gamma_{18}=R,\ \gamma_{19}=b'_6,\ \gamma_{20}=b'_7,\ \gamma_{21}=O,\ \gamma_{22}=F, \end{cases}$$

given by (1.13). *Let $\check{S}=S(\lambda_0,\mu_0)$ be a reference surface for a fixed point $(\lambda_0,\mu_0)\in\Lambda=\Lambda_0$. Set $\check{L}=L(\lambda_0,\mu_0)\subset H_2(\check{S},\mathbb{Z})$. We define a S-marking ψ of $S(\lambda,\mu)$ to be an isomorphism $\psi: H_2(S(\lambda,\mu),\mathbb{Z})\to\check{L}$ with the property that $\psi^{-1}(\gamma_j)=\gamma_j$ for $5\leq j\leq 22$. We call the pair $(S(\lambda,\mu),\psi)$ an S-marked $K3$ surface.*

By the above definition, a S-marking ψ has the property:

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi^{-1}(F)=F,\ \psi^{-1}(O)=O,\ \psi^{-1}(Q)=Q,\ \psi^{-1}(R)=R, \\ &\psi^{-1}(b_j)=b_j,\ \psi^{-1}(b'_j)=b'_j \quad (1\leq j\leq 7). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2. *Two S-marked $K3$ surfaces (S,ψ) and (S',ψ') are said to be isomorphic if there is a biholomorphic mapping $f : S \to S'$ with*

$$\psi' \circ f_* \circ \psi^{-1} = \mathrm{id}_{H_2(\check{S},\mathbb{Z})}.$$

Two S-marked $K3$ surfaces (S,ψ) and (S',ψ') are said to be equivalent if there is a biholomorphic mapping $f : S \to S'$ with

$$\psi' \circ f_* \circ \psi^{-1}|_{\check{L}} = \mathrm{id}_{\check{L}}.$$

By Proposition 2.2, the basis $\{\gamma_5, \cdots, \gamma_{22}\}$ of $L(\subset H_2(S(\lambda,\mu),\mathbb{Z}))$ is extended to a basis

$$\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3, \gamma_4, \gamma_5, \cdots, \gamma_{22}\} \tag{2.2}$$

of $H_2(S(\lambda,\mu),\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\{\gamma_1^*, \cdots, \gamma_{22}^*\}$ be the dual basis of $\{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{22}\}$ with respect to the intersection form (2.1). Set

$$L_t = \langle \gamma_1^*, \gamma_2^*, \gamma_3^*, \gamma_4^* \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset H_2(S(\lambda,\mu),\mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.3}$$

We have $L_t = L^{\perp}$.

2.2 Period mapping

First, we state the definition of the period mapping for general $K3$ surfaces.

For a $K3$ surface S, there exists unique holomorphic 2-form ω up to a constant factor. Let $\{\gamma_1, \cdots \gamma_{22}\}$ be a basis of $H_2(S,\mathbb{Z})$.

$$\eta' = \Big(\int_{\gamma_1} \omega : \cdots : \int_{\gamma_{22}} \omega \Big) \in \mathbb{P}^{21}(\mathbb{C})$$

is called a period of S. Let $\{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_r\}$ be a basis of $\mathrm{Tr}(S)$. Note that

$$\int_{\gamma} \omega = 0, \qquad ({}^{\forall}\gamma \in \mathrm{NS}(S)). \tag{2.4}$$

The period η' is reduced to

$$\eta = \Big(\int_{\gamma_1} \omega : \cdots : \int_{\gamma_r} \omega \Big) \in \mathbb{P}^{r-1}(\mathbb{C}).$$

We note that $\mathrm{NS}(S)$ is a lattice of signature $(1,\cdot)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(S)$ is a lattice of the signature $(2,\cdot)$.

Definition 2.3. *Let $\check{S} = S(\lambda_0,\mu_0)$ be the reference surface. Take a small neighborhood δ of (λ_0,μ_0) in Λ so that we have a local topological trivialization*

$$\tau : \{S(\lambda,\mu) | (\lambda,\mu) \in \delta\} \to \check{S} \times \delta.$$

Let $p : \check{S} \times \delta \to \check{S}$ be the canonical projection, and set $r = p \circ \tau$. Then,

$$r'(\lambda,\mu) = r|_{S(\lambda,\mu)}$$

gives a deformation of surfaces. We note that r' preserves the lattice L. Take an S-marking $\check{\psi}$ of $\check{S}$. We obtain the S-markings of $S(\lambda,\mu)$ by $\psi = \check{\psi} \circ r'_*$ for $(\lambda,\mu) \in \delta$. We define the local period mapping $\Phi = \Phi_0 : \delta \to \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ by

$$\Phi((\lambda,\mu)) = \Big(\int_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma_1)} \omega : \ldots : \int_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma_4)} \omega \Big), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_4 \in L$ are given by (2.2). We define the multivalued period mapping $\Lambda \to \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ by making the analytic continuation of Φ along any arc starting from (λ_0,μ_0) in Λ.

In general, we have the Riemann-Hodge relation for the period:

$$\eta' M^t \eta' = 0, \qquad \eta' M^t \bar{\eta'} > 0,$$

where M is the intersection matrix $(\gamma_j^* \cdot \gamma_k^*)_{1\leq j,k\leq 22}$.

For our case, according to the relation (2.4), the Riemann-Hodge relation is reduced to

$$\eta A^t \eta = 0, \qquad \eta A^t \bar{\eta} > 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$A = (\gamma_j^* \cdot \gamma_k^*)_{1\leq j,k\leq 4}$$

and

$$\eta = \Big(\int_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma_1)} \omega : \int_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma_2)} \omega : \int_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma_3)} \omega : \int_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma_4)} \omega \Big).$$

Remark 2.1. *In* Theorem 3.1*, we shall show that the above matrix A is given by*

$$A = A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_0 = \{\xi = (\xi_1 : \xi_2 : \xi_3 : \xi_4) \in \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C}) \mid \xi A^t \xi = 0, \xi A^t \bar{\xi} > 0\}.$$

We have $\Phi(\Lambda) \subset \mathcal{D}$. Note that $\mathcal{D}$ is composed of two connected components. Let $\mathcal{D}^+$ be the component where $(1 : 1 : -\sqrt{-1} : 0)$ is a point of $\mathcal{D}^+$. And let $\mathcal{D}^-$ be the other component.

Definition 2.4. *The fundamental group $\pi_1(\Lambda, *)$ acts on the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\langle \psi^{-1}(\gamma_1), \cdots, \psi^{-1}(\gamma_4) \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$. So, it induces the action on $\mathcal{D}$. This action induces a group of projective linear transformations which is a subgroup of $PGL(4, \mathbb{Z})$. We call it the projective monodromy group of the period mapping $\Phi : \Lambda \to \mathcal{D}$.*

2.3 The Picard number

By the Riemann-Roch Theorem for surfaces and the Serre duality, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let S be a $K3$ surface with the elliptic fibration $\pi : S \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ and F be a fixed general fibre. Then, π is the unique elliptic fibration up to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ which has F as a general fibre.*

Definition 2.5. *Let $(S_1, \pi_1, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ and $(S_2, \pi_2, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ be two elliptic surfaces. If there exist a biholomorphic mapping $f : S_1 \to S_2$ and $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\varphi \circ \pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ f$, we say $(S_1, \pi_1, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ and $(S_2, \pi_2, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ are isomorphic as elliptic surfaces.*

For an elliptic surface given by the Kodaira normal form $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(z)x - g_3(z)$, we define the j-invariant (see [Kod] Section 7):

$$j(z) = \frac{g_2^3(z)}{g_2^3(z) - 27g_3^2(z)} \in \mathbb{C}(z). \tag{2.7}$$

From the definition, we have

Proposition 2.3. *Let $(S_1, \pi_1, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ and $(S_2, \pi_2, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ be two elliptic surfaces given by the Kodaira normal forms. Let $j_1(z)$ and $j_2(z)$ be the corresponding j-invariants of the Kodaira normal forms, respectively. If $(S_1, \pi_1, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ and $(S_2, \pi_2, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ are isomorphic, then there exists $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\pi_1^{-1}(p)$ and $\pi_2^{-1}(\varphi(p))$ are the fibres of the same type for any $p \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ and $j_2 \circ \varphi = j_1$.*

For $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda$, let

$$\pi : S(\lambda, \mu) \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) = (z\text{-sphere})$$

be the canonical elliptic fibration given by (1.7).

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose* $(\lambda_1, \mu_1), (\lambda_2, \mu_2) \in \Lambda$. *If* $(S(\lambda_1, \mu_1), \pi_1, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ *is isomorphic to* $(S(\lambda_2, \mu_2), \pi_2, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ *as elliptic surfaces, then it holds* $(\lambda_1, \mu_1) = (\lambda_2, \mu_2)$.

Proof. Let $f : S_1 \to S_2$ be the biholomorphic mapping which gives the equivalence of elliptic surfaces. According to Proposition 2.3, there exists $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ which satisfies $\varphi \circ \pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ f$. By Proposition 1.2, we have $\pi_j^{-1}(0) = I_3$ and $\pi_j^{-1}(\infty) = I_{15}$ $(j = 1, 2)$. So, φ has the form $\varphi : z \mapsto az$ with some $a \in \mathbb{C} - 0$. Let $D_0(z; \lambda_j, \mu_j)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ be the discriminant. From (1.12), we have

$$\frac{D_0(z; \lambda_j, \mu_j)}{64\mu_j^3 z^3} = \lambda_j^3 + 3\lambda_j^2 z + 27\mu_j z + 3\lambda_j z^2 + 3\lambda_j^2 z^2 + z^3 + 6\lambda_j z^3 + 3z^4 + 3\lambda_j z^4 + 3z^5 + z^6 \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

The six roots of $D_0(z; \lambda_1, \mu_1)/64\mu_1^3 z^3$ ($D_0(z; \lambda_2, \mu_2)/64\mu_2^3 z^3$, resp.) give the six images of singular fibres of type I_1 of $S(\lambda_1, \mu_1)$ ($S(\lambda_2, \mu_2)$, resp.). The roots of $D_0(z; \lambda_1, \mu_1)/64\mu_1^3 z^3$ are sent by φ to those of $D_0(z; \lambda_2, \mu_2)/64\mu_2^3 z^3$. Observing the coefficients of $D_0(z; \lambda_1, \mu_1)$ and $D_0(z; \lambda_2, \mu_2)$, we obtain that $a = 1$. Therefore, we have $(\lambda_1, \mu_1) = (\lambda_2, \mu_2)$. □

Proposition 2.4. *Two S-marked* $K3$ *surfaces* $(S(\lambda_1, \mu_1), \psi_1)$ *and* $(S(\lambda_2, \mu_2), \psi_2)$ *are equivalent if and only if there exists an isomorphism of elliptic surfaces between* $(S(\lambda_1, \mu_1), \pi_1, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ *and* $(S(\lambda_2, \mu_2), \pi_2, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$.

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. We prove the necessity. Let $(\lambda_1, \mu_1), (\lambda_2, \mu_2) \in \Lambda$. Suppose the equivalence of S-marked $K3$ surfaces

$$(S(\lambda_1, \mu_1), \psi_1) \simeq (S(\lambda_2, \mu_2), \psi_2).$$

Then, there exists a biholomorphic mapping $f : S(\lambda_1, \mu_1) \to S(\lambda_2, \mu_2)$ such that $\psi_2 \circ f_* \circ \psi_1^{-1}|_L = id_L$. Especially, for general fibres $F_1 \in \mathrm{Div}(S_1)$ and $F_2 \in \mathrm{Div}(S_2)$, we have $f_*(F_1) = F_2$.

So, $S(\lambda_2, \mu_2)$ has two elliptic fibrations π_2 and $\pi_1 \circ f^{-1}$ which have a general fibre F_2. According to Lemma 2.1, it holds

$$\pi_2 = \pi_1 \circ f^{-1}$$

up to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$. □

Corollary 2.1. *Let* (λ_1, μ_1) *and* (λ_2, μ_2) *be in* Λ. *Two S-marked* $K3$ *surfaces* $(S(\lambda_1, \mu_1), \psi_1)$ *and* $(S(\lambda_2, \mu_2), \psi_2)$ *are equivalent if and only if* $(\lambda_1, \mu_1) = (\lambda_2, \mu_2)$.

Proof. From the proposition and Lemma 2.2, we obtain the required statement. □

Theorem 2.1. (The local Torelli theorem for S-marked $K3$ surfaces) *Let* $\delta \subset \Lambda$ *be a sufficiently small neighborhood of* (λ_0, μ_0), *and* (λ_1, μ_1), $(\lambda_2, \mu_2) \in \delta$. *Suppose* $\Phi(\lambda_1, \mu_1) = \Phi(\lambda_2, \mu_2)$, *then there exists an isomorphism of S-marked* $K3$ *surfaces* $(S(\lambda_1, \mu_1), \psi_1) \simeq (S(\lambda_2, \mu_2), \psi_2)$.

We have

Theorem 2.2. *For a generic point* $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda$, *we have*

$$\mathrm{rank}\ \mathrm{NS}(S(\lambda, \mu)) = 18.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, we already have rank $\mathrm{NS}(S(\lambda, \mu)) \geq 18$. Let δ be a small neighborhood of (λ, μ). Suppose we have rank $\mathrm{NS}(S(\lambda', \mu')) > 18$ for all $(\lambda', \mu') \in \delta$. Then, $\Phi(\delta)$ cannot contain any open set of $\mathcal{D}$. By Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 2.1, the period mapping is injective. This is a contradiction. □

Corollary 2.2. *The $\mathbb{C}$-vector space generated by the germs of holomorphic functions*

$$\int_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma_1)} \omega, \cdots, \int_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma_4)} \omega$$

is 4-dimensional.

Proof. It is clear, for the rank of the transcendental lattice $\mathrm{Tr}(S(\lambda,\mu))$ is $22-18=4$. □

We can determine the Picard number of the family $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j=1,2,3)$ by the same method. Recall the lattice L_1 (L_2, L_3, resp.) in (1.21) ((1.28), (1.40), resp.) for $\mathcal{F}_1$ ($\mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3, resp.$). Set $j \in \{1,2,3\}$. Let $\{\gamma_1^*, \cdots, \gamma_{22}^*\}$ be a basis of $H_2(S_j(\lambda,\mu),\mathbb{Z})$ such that we have $\langle \gamma_1^*, \cdots, \gamma_4^* \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = L_j^{\perp}$. Take a dual basis $\{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{22}\}$ of $H_2(S_j(\lambda,\mu),\mathbb{Z})$, namely it holds $(\gamma_j \cdot \gamma_k^*) = \delta_{jk}$ $(1 \leq j,k \leq 22)$. By the same procedure as for $\mathcal{F}_0$, we define the multivalued analytic period mapping $\Phi_j : \Lambda_j \to \mathcal{D}_j$ given by

$$(\lambda,\mu) \mapsto \Big(\int_{\gamma_1} \omega_j : \cdots : \int_{\gamma_4} \omega_j \Big),$$

where ω_j is the unique holomorphic 2-form on $S_j(\lambda,\mu)$ up to a constant factor and $\mathcal{D}_j$ is the domain of type IV defined by the intersection matrix $(\gamma_j^* \cdot \gamma_k^*)_{1 \leq j,k \leq 4}$. Moreover, we have the Kodaira normal forms of the elliptic fibrations (1.16), (1.23) and (1.36) (these forms appear in the proofs of Proposition 1.4, 1.6 and 1.10). Observing the coefficients of these forms, we can prove the lemmas corresponding to Lemma 2.2. Therefore, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *The Picard number of a generic member of the families $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j=1,2,3)$ are equal to 18.*

3 The Néron-Severi lattices

For our further study, we need the explicit lattice structures of the Néron-Severi lattices and those of the transcendental lattices. In this section, we show the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *The intersection matrices of Néron-Severi lattices* NS *and the transcendental lattices* Tr *of a generic member of $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j=0,1,2,3)$ are given as in* Table 2.

Polytope	*Family*	NS	Tr
P_0	$\mathcal{F}_0$	$E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$	$U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} =: A_0$
P_1	$\mathcal{F}_1$	$E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$	$U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =: A_1$
P_2	$\mathcal{F}_2$	$E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix} =: A_2$
P_3	$\mathcal{F}_3$	$E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$	$U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix} =: A_3$

Table 2.

Remark 3.1. *Koike* [Koi] *made a research on the families of $K3$ surfaces derived from the dual polytopes of 3-dimensional Fano polytopes. The polytopes P_0, P_2 and P_3 in our notation are the Fano polytopes. Due to Koike, we have Néron-Severi lattices for the dual polytopes P_0°, P_2° and P_3° (given by* Table 3*).*

Dual Polytope	NS	Tr
P_0°	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$	$U \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$
P_2°	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$	$U \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$
P_3°	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$U \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$

Table 3.

Table 3 *and* Table 2 *support the mirror symmetry conjecture for the reflexive polytopes* P_0*,* P_2 *and* P_3*.*

Remark 3.2. *According to the above theorem, a generic member of* $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ *has the Shioda-Inose structure. (see Morrison* [Mo], Theorem 6.3).

Remark 3.3. *The Néron-Severi lattices of* $K3$ *surfaces with non-symplectic involutions are studied by Nikulin* [Ni]*. All of our cases are not contained in his results. The lattice structures of* 95 *weighted projective* $K3$ *surfaces given by M. Reid are studied by Belcastro* [Be]*. Our lattice of* $\mathcal{F}_0$ *coincides with No.30 and No.86 in her list. Our lattices of* $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ *and* $\mathcal{F}_3$ *are not contained in her results, neither.*

3.1 Proof for the case P_0

We prove Theorem 3.1 for the case P_0 in a naive way. Recall the lattice L_0 in (1.13). By Theorem 2.2, for generic $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_0$,

$$\dim(\mathrm{NS}(S_0(\lambda, \mu))) = 18 = \dim(L_0).$$

According to Proposition 2.2, we have $(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{NS}(S_0(\lambda, \mu)) = L_0$. Hence, we have

$$\mathrm{NS}(S_0(\lambda, \mu)) = L_0$$

for generic $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_0$.

Lemma 3.1. *The lattice* L_0 *is isomorphic to the lattice given by the intersection matrix*

$$M_0' = E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and its orthogonal complement is given by

$$A_0 = U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let M_0 be the matrix given in (1.14). Set

$$r_j = {}^t(0, \cdots, 0, \overbrace{1}^{\mathrm{j-th}}, 0, \cdots, 0) \qquad (1 \leq j \leq 18) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} v_{16} = {}^t(-1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -2, -4, -3, -1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -2, -4, -2, 1, 1), \\ v_{17} = {}^t(5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 13, 17, 9, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 3, 3, 1, 1, -3), \\ v_{18} = {}^t(-2, -4, -6, -8, -10, -6, -6, -2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1, 2, -2, 1). \end{cases}$$

Set

$$U = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5, r_6, r_7, r_8, r_9, r_{10}, r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{14}, r_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17}, v_{18}).$$

This is an unimodular matrix. Then, we have ${}^t U M_0 U = M_0'$. By observing $E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus U \oplus U \oplus U$ and M_0', we obtain the matrix A_0. □

Therefore, we obtain Theorem 3.1 for P_0.

3.2 The Mordell-Weil group of sections

In the case $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$, we have $|\det(L_j)| = 9$, which is a square number. For this reason, we cannot apply the method in the previous subsection to the case $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$. To determine the lattices for $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$, we use the theory of the Mordell-Weil lattices due to T. Shioda. For detail, see [Sho1] and [Sho2].

Let S be a compact complex surface and C be a algebraic curve. Let $\pi : S \to C$ be an elliptic fibration with sections. For generic $v \in C$, the fibre $\pi^{-1}(v)$ is an elliptic curve. In the following, we assume that the elliptic fibration $\pi : S \to C$ has singular fibres. $\mathbb{C}(C)$ denotes the field of meromorphic functions on C. If $C = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, the field $\mathbb{C}(C)$ is isomorphic to the field $\mathbb{C}(t)$ of rational functions.

Here, $E(\mathbb{C}(C))$ denotes the Mordell-Weil group of sections of $\pi : S \to C$. For all $P \in E(\mathbb{C}(C))$ and $v \in C$, we have $(P \cdot \pi^{-1}(v)) = 1$. Note that the section P intersects an irreducible component with multiplicity 1 of every fibre $\pi^{-1}(v)$. Let O be the zero of the group $E(\mathbb{C}(C))$. The section O is given by the set of the points at infinity on every generic fibre.

Set

$$R = \{v \in C | \pi^{-1}(C) \text{ is a singular fibre of } \pi\}.$$

For all $v \in R$, we have

$$\pi^{-1}(v) = \Theta_{v,0} + \sum_{j=1}^{m_v - 1} \mu_{v,j}\Theta_{v,j}, \tag{3.2}$$

where m_v is the number of irreducible components of $\pi^{-1}(v)$, $\Theta_{v,j}$ $(j = 0, \cdots, m_v - 1)$ are irreducible components with multiplicity $\mu_{v,j}$ of $\pi^{-1}(v)$, and $\Theta_{v,0}$ is the component with $\Theta_{v,0} \cap O \neq \phi$.

Let F be a generic fibre of π. Set

$$T = \langle F, O, \Theta_{v,j} | v \in R, 1 \leq j \leq m_v - 1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathrm{NS}(S).$$

We call T the trivial lattice for π. For $P \in E(\mathbb{C}(C))$, $(P) \in \mathrm{NS}(S)$ denotes the corresponding element.

Theorem 3.2. (Shioda [Sho1], see also [Sho2] Theorem (3·10))
(1) *The Mordell-Weil group $E(\mathbb{C}(C))$ is a finitely generated Abelian group.*
(2) *The Néron-Severi group $\mathrm{NS}(S)$ is a finitely generated Abelian group and torsion free.*
(3) *We have the isomorphism of groups $E(\mathbb{C}(C)) \simeq \mathrm{NS}(S)/T$ given by*

$$P \mapsto (P) \mod T.$$

We set $\hat{T} = (T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{NS}(S)$ for the trivial lattice T.

Corollary 3.1. ([Sho1], see also [Sho2] Proposition (3·11))
(1)

$$\mathrm{rank}(E(\mathbb{C}(C))) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathrm{NS}(S)) - 2 - \sum_{v \in R}(m_v - 1).$$

(2) *Let $E(\mathbb{C}(C))_{tor}$ be the torsion part of $E(\mathbb{C}(C))$. Then,*

$$E(\mathbb{C}(C))_{tor} \simeq \hat{T}/T.$$

Set

$$E(\mathbb{C}(C))^0 = \{P \in E(\mathbb{C}(C)) | P \cap \Theta_{v,0} \neq \phi \text{ for all } v \in R\}.$$

We have

$$E(\mathbb{C}(C))^0 \subset E(\mathbb{C}(C))/E(\mathbb{C}(C))_{tor} \tag{3.3}$$

(see [Sho1], see also [Sho2] Section 5).

Let $v \in R$. Under the notation (3.2), we set

$$(\pi^{-1}(v))^\sharp = \bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq m_v - 1,\, \mu_{v,j}=1} \Theta^\sharp_{v,j},$$

where $\Theta^\sharp_{v,j} = \Theta_{v,j} - \{\text{singular points of } \pi^{-1}(v)\}$. Set $m_v^{(1)} = \sharp\{j | 0 \leq j \leq m_v - 1,\, \mu_{v,j} = 1\}$.

Theorem 3.3. ([Ne], [Kod], see also [Sho2] Section 7) *Let $v \in R$. The set $(\pi^{-1}(v))^\sharp$ has a canonical group structure.*

Remark 3.4. *Especially, for the singular fibre $\pi^{-1}(v)$ of type I_b $(b \geq 1)$, we have*

$$(\pi^{-1}(v))^\sharp \simeq \mathbb{C}^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/b\mathbb{Z}).$$

For the singular fibre $\pi^{-1}(v)$ of type I_b^* $(b \geq 0)$, we have

$$(\pi^{-1}(v))^\sharp \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) & (b \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1), \\ \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2 & (b \in 2\mathbb{Z}). \end{cases}$$

For each $v \in C$, we introduce the mapping

$$sp_v : E(\mathbb{C}(C)) \to (\pi^{-1}(v))^\sharp : P \mapsto P \cap \pi^{-1}(v).$$

Note that

$$P \cap \pi^{-1}(v) = (x, a) \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}^\times \\ \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix} \times \{\text{finite group}\}$$

(see [Sho2] Section 7). We call sp_v the specialization mapping.

Theorem 3.4. ([Sho2] Section 7) *For all $v \in C$, the specialization mapping*

$$sp_v : P \mapsto (x, a) \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}^\times \\ \mathbb{C} \end{pmatrix} \times \{\text{finite group}\}$$

is a homomorphism of groups.

Remark 3.5. *Especially for the singular fibre $\pi^{-1}(v)$ of type I_b (I_b^*, resp.), the projection of sp_v*

$$E(\mathbb{C}(C)) \to (\mathbb{Z}/b\mathbb{Z}) \quad ((\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \text{ or } (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2, \text{ resp.})$$

is a homomorphism of groups.

3.3 Proof for the case P_1

Recall the elliptic fibration given by (1.16) and Figure 3.

The trivial lattice for this fibration is

$$T_1 = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_4', a_3', a_2', a_1', c_1, b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, c_2, c_3, O, F \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Let Q be the section in (1.17). From (1.21), we have

$$L_1 = \langle Q, T_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

This is a subgroup of $\mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda, \mu))$. According to Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 3.2 (3), we obtain

$$\mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda, \mu)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = L_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

We obtain also

$$\mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda,\mu)) = (\langle Q\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda,\mu))) + \hat{T_1} \tag{3.4}$$

for generic $(\lambda,\mu) \in \Lambda_1$. Since $\det(L_1) = -9$, we deduce that

$$[\mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda,\mu)) : L_1] = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad [\mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda,\mu)) : L_1] = 3. \tag{3.5}$$

In the following, we prove

$$[\mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda,\mu)) : L_1] = 1.$$

Lemma 3.2. *For generic $(\lambda,\mu) \in \Lambda_1$, $\hat{T_1} = T_1$.*

Proof. From (3.4) and (3.5), we have $\hat{T_1} = T_1$ or $[\hat{T_1} : T_1] = 3$. We assume $[\hat{T_1} : T_1] = 3$. Then, according to Corollary 3.1 (2),

$$E(\mathbb{C}(x_1))_{tor} \simeq \hat{T_1}/T_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore there exists $R_0 \in E(\mathbb{C}(x_1))_{tor}$ such that $3R_0 = O$. By Remark 3.5 and (3.3), we suppose that $R_0 \cap a_3 \neq \phi$ at $x_1 = 0$ and $R_0 \cap c_0 \neq \phi$ at $x_1 = \infty$. Put $(R_0 \cdot O) = k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $\bar{T_1} = \langle T_1, R_1\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$. By calculating the intersection matrix, we have

$$\det(\bar{T_1}) = -72(1 + k + k^2) \neq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, due to (3.6), we have $\mathrm{rank}(\bar{T_1}) = 17$. So it follows $\det(\bar{T_1}) = 0$. This contradicts (3.7). □

By the above lamma, we have

$$\mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda,\mu)) = (\langle Q\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda,\mu))) + T_1. \tag{3.8}$$

Lemma 3.3. *For generic $(\lambda,\mu) \in \Lambda_1$, $\mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda,\mu)) = L_1$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove $[\mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda,\mu)) : L_1] = 1$. We assume $[\mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda,\mu)) : L_1] = 3$. By (3.8), there exists $R_1 \in E(\mathbb{C}(x_1))$ such that $3R_1 = Q$. According to Remark 3.5,

$$(R_1 \cdot c_3) = 1, \quad \text{at } x_1 = \infty$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (R_1 \cdot a_1) = 1, \\ \text{or} \\ (R_1 \cdot a_4) = 1, \qquad \text{at } x_1 = 0. \\ \text{or} \\ (R_1 \cdot a_7) = 1, \end{cases}$$

We assume $(R_1 \cdot O) = 0$, for Q in (1.17) does not intersect O. By the addition theorem for elliptic curves, we have $2Q$ and we can check $2Q$ does not intersect O. If we have $p \in R_1 \cap Q$, then it holds $R_1|_p = Q|_p$. By the assumption, we have $(3R_1)|_p = Q|_p$. It means that $2Q \cap O \neq \phi$. But, it is not the case. So, we suppose $(R_1 \cdot Q) = 0$ also. Set $\tilde{L_1} = \langle L_1, R_1\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$. By calculating the intersection matrix, we have

$$\det(\tilde{L_1}) = \begin{cases} 12 & (\text{if } (R_1 \cdot a_1) = 1), \\ -30 & (\text{if } (R_1 \cdot a_4) = 1), \\ 6 & (\text{if } (R_1 \cdot a_7) = 1). \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

On the other hand, we have $\mathrm{rank}(\tilde{L_1}) = 18$ from Theorem 2.3. Hence, we obtain $\det(\tilde{L_1}) = 0$. This contradicts (3.9). Therefore, we have $[\mathrm{NS}(S_1(\lambda,\mu)) : L_1] = 1$. □

Lemma 3.4. *The lattice L_1 is isomorphic to the lattice given by the intersection matrix*

$$E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and its orthogonal complement is given by the intersection matrix

$$A_1 = U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let M_1 be the intersection matrix in (1.22). Set

$$\begin{cases} v_{15}^{(1)} = {}^t(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,-1,0,-1), \\ v_{16}^{(1)} = {}^t(11,22,33,26,19,12,5,-2,2,4,6,8,10,7,5,1,18,-4), \\ v_{17}^{(1)} = {}^t(8,16,24,19,14,9,4,-1,2,4,6,8,10,7,5,-1,13,-5), \\ v_{18}^{(1)} = {}^t(91,182,273,214,155,96,37,-22,18,36,54,72,90,63,45,0,150,-36). \end{cases}$$

Recall the vectors in (3.1). Set

$$U_1 = (r_7, r_6, r_5, r_4, r_3, r_{17}, r_2, r_1, r_9, r_{10}, r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{13}, r_{15}, v_{15}^{(1)}, v_{16}^{(1)}, v_{17}^{(1)}, v_{18}^{(1)}).$$

This is an unimodular matrix. We have

$${}^tU_1 M_1 U_1 = E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Therefore, we obtain Theorem 3.1 for P_1.

3.4 Proof for the case P_2

The elliptic fibration given by (1.23) is illustrated in Figure 4.

The trivial lattice for this fibration is

$$T_2 = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_5', a_4', a_3', a_2', a_1', c_1, b_0, b_1, c_2, c_3, O, F \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Let Q be the section in (1.24). From (1.28), we have

$$L_2 = \langle Q, T_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

This is a subgroup of $\mathrm{NS}(S_2(\lambda,\mu))$. As in the case $\mathcal{F}_1$, so we obtain

$$\mathrm{NS}(S_2(\lambda,\mu)) = (\langle Q \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathrm{NS}(S_2(\lambda,\mu))) + \hat{T}_2$$

for generic $(\lambda,\mu) \in \Lambda_2$. Since $\det(L_2) = -9$, we have

$$[\mathrm{NS}(S_2(\lambda,\mu)) : L_2] = 1 \ \text{ or } \ [\mathrm{NS}(S_2(\lambda,\mu)) : L_2] = 3. \tag{3.10}$$

In the following, we prove $[\mathrm{NS}(S_2(\lambda,\mu)) : L_2] = 1$.

Lemma 3.5. *For generic $(\lambda,\mu) \in \Lambda_2$, $\hat{T}_2 = T_2$.*

Proof. Because we have $\det(T_2) = -44$ and (3.10), it follows $\hat{T}_2 = T_2$. □

Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathrm{NS}(S_2(\lambda,\mu)) = (\langle Q \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}} \cap \mathrm{NS}(S_2(\lambda,\mu))) + T_2. \tag{3.11}$$

Lemma 3.6. *For generic* $(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_2$, $\mathrm{NS}(S_2(\lambda,\mu))=L_2$.

Proof. We assume $[\mathrm{NS}(S_2(\lambda,\mu)):L_2]=3$. From (3.11), there exists $R_1\in E(\mathbb{C}(y))$ such that $3R_1=Q$. According to Remark 3.5, we obtain $(R_1\cdot a_3')=1$ and $(R_1\cdot c_3)=1$. Because the section Q in (1.24) and the section $2Q$ do not intersect O, we have $(R_1\cdot O)=0$ and $(R_1\cdot Q)=0$. Set $\tilde{L_2}=\langle L_2,R_1\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Calculating its intersection matrix, we have $\det(\tilde{L_2})=-38$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, this contradicts to Theorem 2.3. □

Lemma 3.7. *The lattice* L_2 *is isomorphic to the lattice given by the following intersection matrix*

$$E_8(-1)\oplus E_8(-1)\oplus\begin{pmatrix}0&3\\3&2\end{pmatrix},$$

and its orthogonal complement is given by the intersection matrix

$$A_2=U\oplus\begin{pmatrix}0&3\\3&-2\end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let M_2 be the intersection matrix in (1.29). Set

$$\begin{cases} v_{14}^{(2)}={}^t(5,4,15,26,13,10,8,6,4,2,12,24,36,30,18,-4,24,-8),\\ v_{15}^{(2)}={}^t(1,-2,3,8,1,0,0,0,0,0,6,12,18,15,9,0,12,1),\\ v_{17}^{(2)}={}^t(56,13,162,311,120,100,80,60,40,20,170,340,510,425,255,-28,340,-56),\\ v_{18}^{(2)}={}^t(27,6,80,154,60,50,40,30,20,10,84,168,252,210,126,-14,168,-28).\end{cases}$$

Recall the vectors in (3.1). Set

$$U_2=(r_3,r_4,r_{17},r_{14},r_{13},r_{15},r_{12},r_{11},r_{10},r_9,r_8,r_7,r_6,v_{14}^{(2)},v_{15}^{(2)},r_{16},v_{17}^{(2)},v_{18}^{(2)}).$$

This is an unimodular matrix. We have

$${}^tU_2M_2U_2=E_8(-1)\oplus E_8(-1)\oplus\begin{pmatrix}0&3\\3&2\end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Therefore, we obtain Theorem 3.1 for P_2.

3.5 Proof for the case P_3

The elliptic fibration given by (1.30) is illustrated in Figure 5.

The trivial lattice for this fibration is

$$T_3=\langle a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4,a_0',a_4',a_3',a_2',a_1',c_1,b_0,b_1,b_2,c_2,c_3,O,F\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Let Q be the section in (1.31). From (1.35), we see

$$L_3'=\langle Q,T_3\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

This is a subgroup of $\mathrm{NS}(S_3(\lambda,\mu))$ and we have $\det(L_3')=-36$. Moreover, the section O' in (1.31) is a 2-torsion section for this elliptic fibretion. Due to Corollary 3.1, $[\hat{T_3}:T_3]$ is divided by 2. Hence, we have

$$[\mathrm{NS}(S_3(\lambda,\mu)):L_3']=2\ \text{ or }\ [\mathrm{NS}(S_3(\lambda,\mu)):L_3']=6. \tag{3.12}$$

Lemma 3.8. *For generic* $(\lambda,\mu)\in\Lambda_3$, $[\hat{T_3}:T_3]=2$.

Proof. We have $\det(T_3)=-40$. From (3.12), we obtain $[\hat{T_3}:T_3]=2$. □

Lemma 3.9. *For generic* $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_3$, $[\mathrm{NS}(S_3(\lambda,\mu)) : L_3'] = 2$.

Proof. We shall show that $[\mathrm{NS}(S_3(\lambda,\mu)) : L_3'] = 2$. We assume $[\mathrm{NS}(S_3(\lambda,\mu)) : L_3'] = 6$. From Lemma 3.8, there exists $R_1 \in E(\mathbb{C}(x_1))$ such that $3R_1 = Q$. According to Remark 3.5, $(R_1 \cdot c_2) = 1$ and $(R_1 \cdot a_4) = 1$. Also we have $(R_1 \cdot O) = 0$, for Q in (1.31) does not intersect O. Moreover, we assume that $(R_1 \cdot Q) = 0$ or 1, for the section $2P$ does not intersect O at $x_1 \neq \infty$. Set $\tilde{L_3'} = \langle L_3', R\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Calculating the intersection matrix, we have

$$\det(\tilde{L_3'}) = \begin{cases} -16 & (\text{if } (R_1 \cdot Q) = 0) \\ -112 & (\text{if } (R_1 \cdot Q) = 1) \end{cases}. \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, Theorem 2.3 implies that $\mathrm{rank}(\tilde{L_3'}) = 18$ and $\det(\tilde{L_3'}) = 0$. This is a contradiction to (3.13). □

Due to the above lemma, we have

$$|\det(\mathrm{NS}(S_3(\lambda,\mu)))| = 9$$

for generic $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_3$.

To determine the explicit lattice structure for $\mathcal{F}_3$, we use another elliptic fibration defined by (1.36). This fibration is illustrated in Figure 6.

Let Q_0 and R_0 be the sections in (1.37) for this elliptic fibration. Recall

$$L_3 = \langle d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_4', d_3', d_2', d_1', e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_3', e_2', O, Q_0, R_0, F\rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

in (1.40). For generic $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda_3$, since

$$L_3 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = \mathrm{NS}(S_3(\lambda,\mu)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

and $\det(L_3') = -9$, we deduce that

$$L_3 = \mathrm{NS}(S_3(\lambda,\mu)).$$

Lemma 3.10. *The lattice L_3 is isomorphic to the lattice given by the intersection matrix*

$$E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and its orthogonal complement is given by the intersection matrix

$$A_3 = U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let M_3 be the intersection matrix in (1.41). Set

$$\begin{cases} v_9^{(3)} = {}^t(28, 56, 84, 27, 21, 15, 10, 5, 34, 68, 102, 51, -1, -1, 1, 85, -1, -16), \\ v_{17}^{(3)} = {}^t(5, 10, 15, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 6, 12, 18, 9, 0, 0, 0, 15, 0, -3), \\ v_{18}^{(3)} = {}^t(468, 936, 1404, 432, 378, 324, 216, 108, 576, 1152, 1728, 864, 36, 18, 35, 1440, 54, -252). \end{cases}$$

Recall the vectors in (3.1). Set

$$U_3 = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_{16}, r_{11}, r_{12}, r_{10}, r_9, v_9^{(3)}, r_{14}, r_{13}, r_{17}, r_6, r_5, r_7, r_8, v_{17}^{(3)}, v_{18}^{(3)}).$$

This is an unimodular matrix. We have

$${}^t U_3 M_3 U_3 = E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Therefore, we obtain Theorem 3.1 for P_3.

4 Period differential equations

Recall F_j $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ in (0.4). The unique holomorphic 2-form on $S_j(\lambda, \mu)$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ is given by

$$\omega_0 = \frac{z dz \wedge dx}{\partial F_0/\partial y}, \qquad \omega_j = \frac{dz \wedge dx}{\partial F_j/\partial y} \quad (j = 1, 2, 3), \tag{4.1}$$

up to a constant factor.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. There is a 2-cycle Γ_j on $S_j(\lambda, \mu)$ such that the period integral $\iint_{\Gamma_j} \omega_j$ has the following power series expansion, which is valid in a sufficiently small neighborhood of $(\lambda, \mu) = (0, 0)$.*

(0) (*Periods for $\mathcal{F}_0$*)

$$\eta_0(\lambda, \mu) = \iint_{\Gamma_0} \omega = (2\pi i)^2 \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{(5m + 2n)!}{n!(m!)^3(2m + n)!} \lambda^n \mu^m.$$

(1) (*Periods for $\mathcal{F}_1$*)

$$\eta_1(\lambda, \mu) = \iint_{\Gamma_1} \omega_1 = (2\pi i)^2 \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+n} \frac{(3n + 3m)!}{(n!)^2(m!)^2(n + m)!} \lambda^n \mu^m.$$

(2) (*Periods for $\mathcal{F}_2$*)

$$\eta_2(\lambda, \mu) = \iint_{\Gamma_2} \omega_2 = (2\pi i)^2 \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(4m + 3n)!}{(m!)^2 n!((m + n)!)^2} \lambda^n \mu^m.$$

(3) (*Periods for $\mathcal{F}_3$*)

$$\eta_3(\lambda, \mu) = \iint_{\Gamma_3} \omega_3 = (2\pi i)^2 \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(3n + 2m)!}{(n!)^3(m!)^2} \lambda^n \mu^m.$$

Proof. Here, we state the detailed proof only for the case (0).

When (λ, μ) is sufficiently small, $S_0(\lambda, \mu)$ in (1.3) is regarded as a double cover by the projection

$$p : (x, y, z) \mapsto (x, z).$$

Let $\xi_1(x, z), \xi_2(x, z)$ be the two roots of $F_0(x, y, z) = 0$ in y. Then, we have

$$F_0(x, y, z) = xz^2(y - \xi_1(x, z))(y - \xi_2(x, z)).$$

and

$$\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial y}(x, y, z) = xz^2((y - \xi_1(x, z)) + (y - \xi_2(x, z))).$$

Therefore, at $(x, \xi_1(x, z), z) \in S_0(\lambda, \mu)$,

$$\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial y}(x, \xi_1(x, z), z) = xz^2(\xi_1(x, z) - \xi_2(x, y)).$$

We have a local inverse mapping of p

$$q : (x, z) \mapsto (x, \xi_1(x, z), z).$$

Let γ_1 (γ_2, γ_3, resp.) be a cycle in x-plane (y-plane, z-plane, resp.) which goes around the origin once in the positive direction. We suppose that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that it holds

$$|\xi_1(x, z)| - |\xi_2(x, z)| \geq \delta$$

for any $(x,z) \in \gamma_1 \times \gamma_3$. We assume that $x=-1$ stays outside of γ_1, $z=-1-x$ stays outside of γ_3 for any $x \in \gamma_1$, and that $y=\xi_1(x,z)$ stays inside of γ_2 and $y=\xi_2(x,z)$ and $-1-x-z$ stay outside of γ_2 for any $(x,z) \in \gamma_1 \times \gamma_3$. Moreover, by taking a neighborhood U of the origin sufficiently small, we assume

$$|\lambda xyz+\mu| \leq |xyz^2(x+y+z+1)|$$

for any $(x,y,z) \in \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2 \times \gamma_3$ and $(\lambda,\mu) \in U$. So, $q(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_3)$ is a 2-cycle on $S_0(\lambda,\mu)$.

Let us calculate the period integral on the 2-cycle $q(\gamma_1 \times \gamma_3)$ on $S_0(\lambda,\mu)$. Let ω be the holomorphic 2-form given in (4.1). By the residue theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint_{q(\gamma_1\times\gamma_3)} \omega = \iint_{\gamma_3\times\gamma_1} \frac{zdz\wedge dx}{xz^2(\xi_1(x,z)-\xi_2(x,z))} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iiint_{\gamma_3\times\gamma_1\times\gamma_2} \frac{zdz\wedge dx\wedge dy}{xz^2(y-\xi_1(x,z))(y-\xi_2(x,z))} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iiint_{\gamma_3\times\gamma_1\times\gamma_2} \frac{zdz\wedge dx\wedge dy}{xyz^2(x+y+z+1)+\lambda xyz+\mu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

By the residue theorem and the binomial theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iiint_{\gamma_3\times\gamma_1\times\gamma_2} \frac{zdz\wedge dx\wedge dy}{xyz^2(x+y+z+1)+\lambda xyz+\mu} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \iiint_{\gamma_3\times\gamma_1\times\gamma_2} \frac{1}{xyz^2(x+y+z+1)} \frac{zdz\wedge dx\wedge dy}{1+\frac{\lambda xyz+\mu}{xyz^2(x+y+z+1)}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \iiint_{\gamma_3\times\gamma_1\times\gamma_2} \frac{z(-\lambda xyz-\mu)^l}{(xyz^2(x+y+z+1))^{l+1}} dz\wedge dx\wedge dy \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \iiint_{\gamma_3\times\gamma_1\times\gamma_2} \binom{m+n}{m} \frac{x^n y^n z^{n+1} dz\wedge dx\wedge dy}{(xyz^2(x+y+z+1))^{m+n+1}} (-\lambda)^n(-\mu)^m \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \iiint_{\gamma_3\times\gamma_1\times\gamma_2} \frac{(m+n)!}{n!m!} \frac{dz\wedge dx\wedge dy}{x^{m+1}y^{m+1}z^{2m+n+1}(x+y+z+1)^{m+n+1}} (-\lambda)^n(-\mu)^m \\
&= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \iint_{\gamma_3\times\gamma_1} \frac{(2m+n)!}{(m!)^2 n!} (-1)^m \frac{dz\wedge dx}{x^{m+1}z^{2m+n+1}(x+z+1)^{2m+n+1}} (-\lambda)^n(-\mu)^m \\
&= (2\pi\sqrt{-1}) \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma_3} \frac{(3m+n)!}{(m!)^3 n!} \frac{dz}{z^{2m+n+1}(z+1)^{3m+n+1}} (-\lambda)^n(-\mu)^m \\
&= (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2 \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{(5m+2n)!}{(m!)^3 n!(2m+n)!} \lambda^n \mu^m.
\end{aligned}$$

The above power series is holomorphic on U. □

Remark 4.1. *In the case* (1)*, our period reduces to the Appell* F_4 *(see* [Koi] *):*

$$\eta_1(\lambda,\mu) = F_4\Big(\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3},1,1;27\lambda,27\mu\Big) = F\Big(\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3},1;x\Big)F\Big(\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3},1,;y\Big),$$

where F *is the Gauss hypergeometric function and* $x(1-y)=27\lambda, y(1-x)=27\mu$*.*

In Section 1, we obtain our families as a section of anti-canonical section of the toric varieties derived from the reflexive polytopes. We obtain the GKZ system of equations for the periods.

In the following, we use the notation

$$\theta_\lambda = \lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}, \quad \theta_\mu = \mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}.$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\eta_j(\lambda,\mu)$ $(j=0,1,2,3)$ be the periods given in* Proposition 4.1. *Then,*

$$D_1^{(j)}\eta_j(\lambda,\mu)=D_2^{(j)}\eta_j(\lambda,\mu)=0 \qquad (j=0,1,2,3),$$

where $D_1^{(j)}$ and $D_2^{(j)}$ are given as follows.

(0) (*The GKZ system of equations for $\mathcal{F}_0$*)

$$\begin{cases} D_1^{(0)} &= \theta_\lambda(\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu)-\lambda(2\theta_\lambda+5\theta_\mu+1)(2\theta_\lambda+5\theta_\mu+2),\\ D_2^{(0)} &= \lambda^2\theta_\mu^3+\mu\theta_\lambda(\theta_\lambda-1)(2\theta_\lambda+5\theta_\mu+1). \end{cases}$$

(1) (*The GKZ system of equations for $\mathcal{F}_1$*)

$$\begin{cases} D_1^{(1)} = \lambda\theta_\mu^2-\mu\theta_\lambda^2,\\ D_2^{(1)} = \lambda(3\theta_\lambda+3\theta_\mu)(3\theta_\lambda+3\theta_\mu-1)(3\theta_\lambda+3\theta_\mu-2). \end{cases}$$

(2) (*The GKZ system of equations for $\mathcal{F}_2$*)

$$\begin{cases} D_1^{(2)} &= \lambda\theta_\mu^2+\mu\theta_\lambda(3\theta_\lambda+4\theta_\mu+1),\\ D_2^{(2)} &= \theta_\lambda(\theta_\lambda+\theta_\mu)^2+\lambda(3\theta_\lambda+4\theta_\mu+1)(3\theta_\lambda+4\theta_\mu+2)(3\theta_\lambda+4\theta_\mu+3). \end{cases}$$

(3) (*The GKZ system of equations for $\mathcal{F}_3$*)

$$\begin{cases} D_1^{(3)} &= \theta_\lambda^2-\mu(3\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu+1)(3\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu+2),\\ D_2^{(3)} &= \theta_\lambda^3+\lambda(3\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu+1)(3\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu+2)(3\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu+3). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Extending the matrix P_j $(j=0,1,2,3)$, set

$$\mathcal{A}_0=\begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&1&1&1\\0&1&0&0&0&-1\\0&0&1&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&1&-1&-2\end{pmatrix},\qquad \mathcal{A}_1=\begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&1&1&1\\0&1&0&0&-1&0\\0&0&1&0&0&-1\\0&0&0&1&-1&-1\end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_2=\begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&1&1&1\\0&1&0&0&0&-1\\0&0&1&0&-1&-1\\0&0&0&1&-1&-1\end{pmatrix},\qquad \mathcal{A}_3=\begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&1&1&1\\0&1&0&0&-1&0\\0&0&1&0&-1&0\\0&0&0&1&0&-1\end{pmatrix},$$

and $\beta=\begin{pmatrix}-1\\0\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}$. From the matrix $\mathcal{A}_j$ $(j=0,1,2,3)$ and the vector β, we have the GKZ system for $\eta_j(\lambda,\mu)$ $(j=0,1,2,3)$. In the following, we state the detailed proof only for $\mathcal{F}_0$.

The GKZ system of equations defined by $\mathcal{A}_0$ and β has a solution

$$\begin{aligned}&\iiint_\Delta R_0^{-1}t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}t_3^{-1}dt_1\wedge dt_2\wedge dt_3\\ &=\iiint_\Delta \frac{t_3dt_1\wedge dt_2\wedge dt_3}{(t_1t_2t_3^2(a_1+a_2t_1+a_3t_2+a_4t_3)+a_5t_1t_2t_3+a_6)},\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

where

$$R_0=a_1+a_2t_1+a_3t_2+a_4t_3+a_5\frac{1}{t_3}+a_6\frac{1}{t_1t_2t_3^2},$$

and Δ is a twisted cycle. By the parameter transformation (1.2), (4.3) is transformed to

$$\frac{1}{a_1}\iiint_\Delta \frac{zdx\wedge dy\wedge dz}{xyz^2(x+y+z+1)+\lambda xyz+\mu}=\frac{1}{a_1}\eta(\lambda,\mu).$$

Set $\theta_j = a_j \dfrac{\partial}{\partial a_j}$. The above mentioned GKZ system is given by the following equations (4.4),(4.5) and (4.6) :

$$\begin{cases} (\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3+\theta_4+\theta_5+\theta_6)\eta = -\eta, \\ (\theta_2-\theta_6)\eta = 0, \\ (\theta_3-\theta_6)\eta = 0, \\ (\theta_4-\theta_5-2\theta_6)\eta = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_4 \partial a_5}\eta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a_1^2}\eta, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial a_2 \partial a_3 \partial a_6}\eta = \frac{\partial^3}{\partial a_1 \partial a_5^2}\eta. \tag{4.6}$$

By (1.2), we have

$$\theta_\lambda = \theta_5, \quad \theta_\mu = \theta_6.$$

So, from (4.4) we have

$$\begin{cases} \theta_2\eta = \theta_\mu\eta, \\ \theta_3\eta = \theta_\mu\eta, \\ \theta_4\eta = (\theta_\lambda + 2\theta_\mu)\eta, \\ \theta_1\eta = (-2\theta_\lambda - 5\theta_\mu - 1)\eta. \end{cases}$$

From (4.5), we have

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial a_4 \partial a_5}\eta = \dfrac{1}{a_4 a_5}\theta_4\theta_5\eta = \dfrac{1}{a_4 a_5}(\theta_\lambda + 2\theta_\mu)\theta_\lambda\eta, \\ \dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial a_1^2}\eta = \dfrac{1}{a_1^2}\theta_1(\theta_1-1)\eta = \dfrac{1}{a_1^2}(2\theta_\lambda + 5\theta_\mu + 1)(2\theta_\lambda + 5\theta_\mu + 2)\eta. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(\theta_\lambda + 2\theta_\mu)\eta = \lambda(2\theta_\lambda + 5\theta_\mu + 1)(2\theta_\lambda + 5\theta_\mu + 2)\eta.$$

Similarly, from (4.6), we have

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial^3}{\partial a_2 \partial a_3 \partial a_6}\eta = \dfrac{1}{a_2 a_3 a_6}\theta_2\theta_3\theta_6\eta = \dfrac{1}{a_2 a_3 a_6}\theta_\mu^3\eta, \\ \dfrac{\partial^3}{\partial a_1 \partial a_5^2}\eta = \dfrac{1}{a_5^2}\theta_1\theta_5(\theta_5-1)\eta = \dfrac{1}{a_1 a_5^2}(-2\theta_\lambda - 5\theta_\mu - 1)\theta_\lambda(\theta_\lambda - 1)\eta, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$\lambda^2\theta_\mu^3\eta = -\mu(2\theta_\lambda + 5\theta_\mu + 1)\theta_\lambda(\theta_\lambda - 1)\eta.$$

□

We obtain 6×6 Pfaffian systems from the above GKZ systems $D_1^{(j)}u = D_2^{(j)}u = 0$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$. These systems are integrable. Therefore, each system has a 6-dimensional space of solutions. However, as we remarked in Corollary 2.2, we expect the systems of differential equations with 4-dimensional space of solutions. It suggests that the above systems are reducible. So, using the above $D_1^{(j)}$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$, we determine the period differential equation for $\mathcal{F}_j$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ with 4-dimensional spaces of solutions.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $j \in \{0,1,2,3\}$. Set the system of differential equations $D_1^{(j)}u = D_3^{(j)}u = 0$ as follows. Then,*

$$D_1^{(j)}\eta_j(\lambda,\mu) = D_3^{(j)}\eta_j(\lambda,\mu) = 0,$$

where $\eta_j(\lambda,\mu)$ is given in Proposition 4.1. *The space of solutions of this system is* 4*-dimensional.*

(0) (*The period differential equation for $\mathcal{F}_0$*)

$$\begin{cases} D_1^{(0)} &= \theta_\lambda(\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu) - \lambda(2\theta_\lambda+5\theta_\mu+1)(2\theta_\lambda+5\theta_\mu+2), \\ D_3^{(0)} &= \lambda^2(4\theta_\lambda^2 - 2\theta_\lambda\theta_\mu + 5\theta_\mu^2) - 8\lambda^3(1+3\theta_\lambda+5\theta_\mu+2\theta_\lambda^2+5\theta_\lambda\theta_\mu) + 25\mu\theta_\lambda(\theta_\lambda-1). \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

(1) (*The period differential equation for $\mathcal{F}_1$*)

$$\begin{cases} D_1^{(1)} &= \lambda\theta_\mu^2 - \mu\theta_\lambda^2, \\ D_3^{(1)} &= \dfrac{1}{27}\theta_\lambda^2 u + \lambda\Big(\dfrac{2}{9} + \theta_\lambda + \theta_\mu + \theta_\lambda^2 + 2\theta_{\lambda\mu} + \theta_\mu^2\Big)u = 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

(2) (*The period differential equation for $\mathcal{F}_2$*)

$$\begin{cases} D_1^{(2)} &= \lambda\theta_\mu^2 + \mu\theta_\lambda(3\theta_\lambda+4\theta_\mu+1), \\ D_3^{(2)} &= \lambda\theta_\lambda(3\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu) + \mu\theta_\lambda(1-\theta_\lambda) + 9\lambda^2(3\theta_\lambda+4\theta_\mu+1)(3\theta_\lambda+4\theta_\mu+2). \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

(3) (*The period differential equation for $\mathcal{F}_3$*)

$$\begin{cases} D_1^{(3)} &= \theta_\lambda^2 - \mu(3\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu+1)(3\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu+2), \\ D_3^{(3)} &= \theta_\lambda(3\theta_\lambda-2\theta_\mu) + 9\lambda(3\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu+1)(3\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu+2) + 4\mu\theta_\lambda(3\theta_\lambda+2\theta_\mu+1). \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. We determine $D_3^{(j)}$ $(j = 0,1,2,3)$ by the method of indeterminate coefficients. Set $D = f_1 + f_2\theta_\lambda + f_3\theta_\mu + f_4\theta_\lambda^2 + f_5\theta_\lambda\theta_\mu + f_6\theta_\mu^2$, where $f_1 \cdots f_6 \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda,\mu]$. Let $j \in \{0,1,2,3\}$. We can determine the polynomials $f_1, \cdots, f_6$ so that D satisfies $D\eta_j = 0$ (η_j is given in Proposition 4.1) and is independent of $D_1^{(j)}$. Thus, we obtain the above $D_3^{(j)}$.

In the following, we prove that the spaces of solutions are 4-dimensional. Let $j \in \{0,1,2,3\}$. By making up the Pfaffian system of $D_1^{(j)}u = D_3^{(j)}u = 0$, we shall show the required statement. Set $\varphi = {}^t(1, \theta_\lambda, \theta_\mu, \theta_\lambda^2)$. We obtain the Pfaffian system $\Omega_j = \alpha_j d\lambda + \beta_j d\mu$ with $d\varphi = \Omega_j\varphi$ as follows. We can check that

$$d\Omega_j = \Omega_j \wedge \Omega_j.$$

Therefore, each system $D_1^{(j)}u = D_3^{(j)}u = 0$ has the 4-dimensional space of solution.

(0) (The Pfaffian system for $\mathcal{F}_0$)

Setting

$$\begin{cases} t = \lambda^2(4\lambda-1)^3 - 2(2+25\lambda(20\lambda-1))\mu - 3125\mu^2, \\ s = 1 - 15\lambda - 100\lambda^2, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\alpha_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a_{11}/s & a_{12}/(2\lambda s) & a_{13}/(2s) & a_{14}/(2\lambda s) \\ a_{21}/(st) & a_{22}/(2st) & a_{23}/(2st) & a_{24}/(2st) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} a_{11} = \lambda(1+20\lambda), & a_{12} = 6\lambda^2 + 120\lambda^3 + 125\mu, \\ a_{13} = 5\lambda(3+40\lambda), & a_{14} = -(\lambda + 16\lambda^2 - 80\lambda^3 + 125\mu), \\ a_{21} = -\lambda^3(2 + 2125\mu + \lambda(-17 + 616\lambda - 2320\lambda^2 + 2500(9+80\lambda)\mu)), \\ a_{22} = -(-2\lambda^3(-1+4\lambda)(8+5\lambda(-13+4\lambda(83+40\lambda))) \\ \qquad +(-16+5\lambda(94+5\lambda(59+10\lambda(-73+20\lambda(37+160\lambda)))))\mu + 3125(-4+5\lambda(21+200\lambda))\mu^2), \\ a_{23} = -\lambda^3(22 + 26875\mu + \lambda(-47 + 300000\mu + 100\lambda(51 + 4\lambda(-49+20\lambda) + 20000\mu))), \\ a_{24} = 12ts + 3s(15\lambda-2) + 2t(-3(1-4\lambda)^2\lambda^2(-1+10\lambda) + 75\lambda(-1+40\lambda)\mu), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b_{11}/s & b_{12}/(2\lambda s) & b_{13}/(2s) & b_{14}/(2\lambda s) \\ b_{21}/(s) & b_{22}/(\lambda^2 s) & b_{23}/(s) & b_{24}/(\lambda^2 s) \\ b_{31}/(ts) & b_{32}/(2\lambda ts) & b_{33}/(2ts) & b_{34}/(2\lambda ts) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} b_{11} = \lambda(1+20\lambda), & b_{12} = 6\lambda^2 + 120\lambda^3 + 125\mu, \\ b_{13} = 5\lambda(3+40\lambda), & b_{14} = -(\lambda + 16\lambda^2 - 80\lambda^3 + 125\mu), \\ b_{21} = -2\lambda(-1+4\lambda), & b_{22} = -(6\lambda^3(-1+4\lambda) - 5\mu + 50\lambda\mu), \\ b_{23} = -\lambda(-11+20\lambda), & b_{24} = -((1-4\lambda)^2\lambda^2 - (5-50\lambda)\mu), \\ b_{31} = -(4(1-4\lambda)^2\lambda^4(7+20\lambda) & \\ \quad -\lambda(-4+25\lambda(-3+2\lambda(-7+20\lambda(1+80\lambda))))\mu + 3125\lambda(1+20\lambda)\mu^2), & \\ b_{32} = -(24(1-4\lambda)^2\lambda^5(7+20\lambda) - 2\lambda(-4+5\lambda(8+\lambda(-43+10\lambda(-57+20\lambda(7+160\lambda)))))\mu & \\ \quad -125(-4+25\lambda(-3+32\lambda(1+10\lambda)))\mu^2 + 390625\mu^3)), & \\ b_{33} = -(4\lambda^3(-1+4\lambda)(-1+2\lambda(-32+25\lambda(1+12\lambda))) + 15625\lambda(3+40\lambda)\mu^2 & \\ \quad -5\lambda(-12+5\lambda(-1+10\lambda)(33+20\lambda(23+160\lambda)))\mu), & \\ b_{34} = -(4\lambda^4(-1+4\lambda)^3(7+20\lambda) + 3\lambda(-4+\lambda(31-490\lambda+76000\lambda^3))\mu & \\ \quad +250(-2+25\lambda(-2+\lambda(11+260\lambda)))\mu^2 - 390625\mu^3). & \end{cases}$$

(1) (The Pfaffian system for $\mathcal{F}_1$)

Setting

$$t_1 = 729\lambda^2 - 54\lambda(27\mu - 1) + (1+27\mu)^2,$$

we have

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1/9 & -1/2 & -1/2 & -(1+27\lambda+27\mu)/(54\lambda) \\ a_{11}/t_1 & a_{12}/(2t_1) & a_{23}/(2t_1) & a_{24}/(2t_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} a_{11} = 3\lambda(1-27\lambda+27\mu), & a_{12} = 3\lambda(5-351\lambda+135\mu), \\ a_{13} = 27\lambda(1-3\lambda+27\mu), & a_{14} = 3(-729\lambda^2 + (1+27\mu)^2), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1/9 & -1/2 & -1/2 & -(1+27\lambda+27\mu)/(54\lambda) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu/\lambda \\ b_{11}/t_1 & b_{12}/(2t_1) & b_{13}/(2t_1) & b_{14}/(2t_1) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} b_{11} = 3\lambda(1+27\lambda-27\mu), & b_{12} = 27\lambda(1+27\lambda-3\mu), \\ b_{13} = 3\lambda(5+135\lambda-351\mu), & b_{14} = (1+27\lambda)^2 + 108(27\lambda-1)\mu - 3645\mu^2. \end{cases}$$

(2) (The Pfaffian system for $\mathcal{F}_2$)

Setting

$$\begin{cases} t_2 = \lambda^2(1+27\lambda)^2 - 2\lambda\mu(1+189\lambda) + (1+576\lambda)\mu^2 - 256\mu^3, \\ s_2 = 1 + 108\lambda - 288\mu, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a_{11}/s_2 & a_{12}/(2\lambda s_2) & a_{13}/(s_2) & a_{14}/(2\lambda s_2) \\ a_{21}/(t_2 s_2) & a_{22}/(t_2 s_2) & a_{23}/(t_2 s_2) & a_{24}/(t_2 s_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} a_{11} = -9\lambda, & a_{12} = -(81\lambda^2 + \mu - 144\lambda\mu), \\ a_{13} = -54\lambda, & a_{14} = -3\lambda(1 + 27\lambda - 144\mu) + \mu, \\ a_{21} = -6\lambda^3(1 + 1458\lambda^2 - 2592\lambda\mu + 6\mu(-55 + 4608\mu)), & \\ a_{22} = -3\lambda^2(11 + 54\lambda(5 + 351\lambda)) + \lambda(1 + 4\lambda(61 + 810\lambda(5 + 72\lambda)))\mu + 64(17 + 2808\lambda)\mu^3 & \\ \qquad -147456\mu^4 - 2(1 + 9\lambda(53 + 32\lambda(131 + 864\lambda)))\mu^2, & \\ a_{23} = -8\lambda^3((2 - 27\lambda)^2 + 9(-133 + 2160\lambda)\mu + 82944\mu^2), & \\ a_{24} = 3r_2s_2 + 162\lambda r_2 - 3\lambda s_2(\lambda + 81\lambda^2 + 1458\lambda^3 - 378\lambda\mu + \mu(-1 + 288\mu)), & \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b_{11}/s_2 & b_{12}/(2\lambda s_2) & b_{13}/s_2 & b_{14}/(2\lambda s_2) \\ b_{21}/(s_2) & b_{22}/(\lambda^2 s_2) & b_{23}/s_2 & b_{24}/(\lambda^2 s_2) \\ b_{31}/(t_2 s_2) & b_{32}/(2\lambda t_2 s_2) & b_{33}/(t_2 s_2) & b_{34}/(2\lambda t_2 s_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} b_{11} = -9\lambda, & b_{12} = -(81\lambda^2 + \mu - 144\lambda\mu), \\ b_{13} = -54\lambda, & b_{14} = -3\lambda(1 + 27\lambda - 144\mu) + \mu, \\ b_{21} = 36\mu, & b_{22} = \mu(\lambda(-1 + 54\lambda) + 2\mu), \\ b_{23} = 216\mu, & b_{24} = (3(1 - 54\lambda)\lambda - 2\mu)\mu, \\ b_{31} = 3\lambda(81\lambda^3(1 + 27\lambda) + \lambda(-1 + 36\lambda)(-5 + 108\lambda)\mu + 3(-1 + 32\lambda)(1 + 432\lambda)\mu^2 + 768\mu^3, & \\ b_{32} = 2187\lambda^5(1 + 27\lambda) - (1 + 192\lambda(11 + 1164\lambda))\mu^3 + 256(1 + 864\lambda)\mu^4 & \\ \qquad -\lambda^2(2 + 27\lambda(4 + 9\lambda(77 + 864\lambda)))\mu + \lambda(5 + \lambda(1279 + 864\lambda(85 + 864\lambda)))\mu^2, & \\ b_{33} = 2\lambda(3\lambda^2(1 + 27\lambda)(-1 + 135\lambda) + 2\lambda(23 + 54\lambda(-11 + 972\lambda))\mu & \\ \qquad +9(-3 + 64\lambda)(1 + 432\lambda)\mu^2 + 6912\mu^3, & \\ b_{34} = -(-81\lambda^4(1 + 27\lambda)^2 + \lambda^2(-7 + 9\lambda(-58 + 27\lambda(-125 + 3456\lambda)))\mu & \\ \qquad +\lambda(8 + 9\lambda(425 + 24192\lambda))\mu^2 - (1 + 3456\lambda(1 + 162\lambda))\mu^3 + 256(1 + 1440\lambda)\mu^4. & \end{cases}$$

(3) (The Pfaffian system for $\mathcal{F}_3$)

Setting

$$\begin{cases} t_3 = 729\lambda^2 - (4\mu - 1)^3 + 54\lambda(1 + 12\mu), \\ s_3 = -54\lambda + (1 - 4\mu)^2, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\alpha_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ a_{11}/s_3 & a_{12}/(2s_3) & a_{13}/s_3 & a_{14}/(2s_3) \\ a_{21}/(t_3 s_3) & a_{22}/(t_3 s_3) & a_{23}/(t_3 s_3) & a_{24}/(t_3 s_3) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} a_{11} = 9\lambda, & a_{12} = 81\lambda + 4(1 - 4\mu)\mu, \\ a_{13} = 27\lambda, & a_{14} = 3 + 81\lambda - 48\mu^2, \\ a_{21} = -2\lambda(-2187\lambda^2 + 27\lambda(4\mu - 9)(4\mu - 1) - (-1 + 4\mu)^3(3 + 8\mu)), & \\ a_{22} = 3\lambda(9477\lambda^2 + (1 - 4\mu)^2(-11 + 4\mu(-9 + 16\mu)) - 27\lambda(25 + 4\mu(-31 + 40\mu))), & \\ a_{23} = 2\lambda(729\lambda^2 + (-1 + 4\mu)^3(11 + 16\mu) + 27\lambda(-1 + 4\mu)(19 + 20\mu)), & \\ a_{24} = 81\lambda(-2 + 27\lambda + 8\mu)(1 + 27\lambda - 16\mu^2), & \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ b_{11}/s_3 & b_{12}/(2s_3) & b_{13}/s_3 & b_{14}/(2s_3) \\ b_{21}/s_3 & b_{22}/s_3 & b_{23}/s_3 & b_{24}/s_3 \\ b_{31}/(t_3 s_3) & b_{32}/(2t_3 s_3) & b_{33}/(t_3 s_3) & b_{34}/(2t_3 s_3) \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} b_{11} = 9\lambda, & b_{12} = 81\lambda + 4(1-4\mu)\mu, \\ b_{13} = 27\lambda, & b_{14} = 3 + 81\lambda - 48\mu^2, \\ b_{21} = -2\mu(-1+4\mu), & b_{22} = -3\mu(-3+4\mu), \\ b_{23} = -6\mu(-1+4\mu), & b_{24} = 9\mu(3+4\mu), \\ b_{31} = -3\lambda(2187\lambda^2 + 32(1-4\mu)^2\mu(1+\mu) + 27\lambda(3+16\mu(2+\mu))) \\ b_{32} = -9\lambda(6561\lambda^2 - 81\lambda(-3+4\mu)(1+8\mu) + 4\mu(-1+4\mu)(-33+4\mu(-3+16\mu))), \\ b_{33} = -3\lambda(3645\lambda^2 + 2(1-4\mu)^2(1+16\mu(3+2\mu)) + 27\lambda(7+16\mu(5+9\mu))), \\ b_{34} = -r_3 s_3 + r_3(-8+351\lambda+32\mu) + s_3(9(729\lambda^2 + (1-4\mu)^2 + 54\lambda(1+8\mu)). \end{cases}$$

□

Remark 4.2. *By changing the system* $\varphi = {}^t(1, \theta_\lambda, \theta_\mu, \theta_\lambda^2)$ *to other ones, we see that* $s = 0$ *is not a singularity. Together with the singularities of* θ_λ *and* θ_μ*, we obtain the singular locus of the system* (4.7)*:*

$$\lambda = 0, \quad \mu = 0, \quad \lambda^2(4\lambda-1)^3 - 2(2+25\lambda(20\lambda-1))\mu - 3125\mu^2 = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

This is the locus mentioned in Remark 1.1.

By the same way, from the Puffian systems in the above proof, we obtain the singular locus of the system (4.8)*:*

$$\lambda = 0, \quad \mu = 0, \quad 729\lambda^2 - 54\lambda(27\mu - 1) + (1+27\mu)^2 = 0,$$

the singular locus of the system (4.9)*:*

$$\lambda = 0, \quad \mu = 0, \quad \lambda^2(1+27\lambda)^2 - 2\lambda\mu(1+189\lambda) + (1+576\lambda)\mu^2 - 256\mu^3 = 0,$$

and the singular locus of the system (4.10)*:*

$$\lambda = 0, \quad \mu = 0, \quad 729\lambda^2 - (4\mu-1)^3 + 54\lambda(1+12\mu) = 0.$$

Omitting these locus from $\mathbb{C}^2$ *we have the domain* Λ_j $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ *in* (1.18), (1.25) *and* (1.32).

Remark 4.3. *Takayama and Nakayama* [TN] *determined the systems of differential equations for the Fano polytopes with* 6 *vertices by their new approximation method, that is a special use of D-module algorithm.*

5 Monodromy groups

We defined the projective monodromy groups of our period mappings in Section 2. Those are nothing but the projective monodromy groups of the period differential equations determined by the previous section. We determine them in this section. We make a precise argument only for the period mapping $\Phi : \Lambda_0 \to \mathcal{D}_0$ for $\mathcal{F}_0$. In this section, we set $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}_0, S(\lambda, \mu) := S_0(\lambda, \mu), \Lambda := \Lambda_0, L := L_0, A := A_0$ and $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}_0$.

First, take a generic point $(\lambda_0, \mu_0) \in \Lambda$. Let $\check{S} = S(\lambda_0, \mu_0)$ be a reference surface. Set $\check{L} = \mathrm{NS}(\check{S})$ which is generated by the system (1.13). Recalling the argument of Section 2 and 3, we have a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_{22}\}$ of $H_2(\check{S}, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\langle \gamma_5, \cdots, \gamma_{22} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} = \check{L}$.

$A(= A_0)$ is the intersection matrix of the transcendental lattice given in Theorem 3.1. Set

$$PO(A, \mathbb{Z}) = \{g \in GL(4, \mathbb{Z}) | {}^t g A g = A\}. \tag{5.1}$$

It acts on $\mathcal{D}$ by

$${}^t\xi \mapsto g\,{}^t\xi \quad (\xi \in \mathcal{D}, g \in PO(A, \mathbb{Z})).$$

Recall that $\mathcal{D}$ is composed of two connected components:

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_+ \cup \mathcal{D}_-.$$

Definition 5.1. *Let $PO^+(A,\mathbb{Z})$ denote the subgroup of $PO(A,\mathbb{Z})$ given by*

$$\{g \in PO(A,\mathbb{Z}) | g(\mathcal{D}_\pm) = \mathcal{D}_\pm\}.$$

Remark 5.1. *$PO(A,\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the system:*

$$\begin{cases} G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, G_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{cases}$$

G_1, G_2, G_3, H_2 generate $PO^+(A,\mathbb{Z})$ (see [I1] *or* [Ma]*).*

In the following, we show that the projective monodromy group of our period mapping is isomorphic to the group $PO^+(A,\mathbb{Z})$. To prove this, we apply the Torelli type theorem for polarized $K3$ surfaces.

5.1 The Torelli theorem for P-marked $K3$ surfaces

First, we state necessary properties of polarized $K3$ surfaces.

Definition 5.2. *Let S be an algebraic $K3$ surface. An isomorphism $\psi : H_2(S,\mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(\check{S},\mathbb{Z})$ is said to be a P-marking if we have*

(i) $\psi^{-1}(\check{L}) \subset \mathrm{NS}(S)$,

(ii) $\psi^{-1}(F), \psi^{-1}(O), \psi^{-1}(Q), \psi^{-1}(R), \psi^{-1}(b_j)$ *and* $\psi^{-1}(b'_j)$ $(1 \leq j \leq 7)$ *are all effective divisors,*

(iii) $\psi^{-1}(F)$ *is nef. Namely,* $(\psi^{-1}(F) \cdot C) \geq 0$ *for any effective class C.*

A pair (S,ψ) of a $K3$ surface and a P-marking is called a P-marked $K3$ surface. A S-marked $K3$ surface $(S(\lambda,\mu),\psi)$ is a P-marked $K3$ surface.

Definition 5.3. *Two P-marked $K3$ surfaces (S_1,ψ_1) and (S_2,ψ_2) are said to be isomorphic if there is a biholomorphic mapping $f : S_1 \to S_2$ with*

$$\psi_2 \circ f_* \circ \psi_1^{-1} = id_{H_2(\check{S},\mathbb{Z})}.$$

Two P-marked $K3$ surfaces (S_1,ψ_1) and (S_2,ψ_2) are said to be equivalent if there is a biholomorphic mapping $f : S_1 \to S_2$ with

$$\psi_2 \circ f_* \circ \psi_1^{-1}|_{\check{L}} = id_{\check{L}}.$$

The period of a P-marked $K3$ surface (S,ψ) is defined by

$$\Phi(S,\psi) = \Big(\int_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma_1)} \omega : \cdots : \int_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma_4)} \omega \Big). \tag{5.2}$$

We use some general facts. These are exposed in [KSTT].

Proposition 5.1. (Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro and Šafarevič [PS]) *Let S be a $K3$ surface.*

(1) *Suppose $C \in \mathrm{NS}(S)$ satisfies $(C \cdot C) = 0$ and $C \neq 0$. Then there exists an isometry γ of $\mathrm{NS}(S)$ such that $\gamma(C)$ becomes to be effective and nef.*

(2) *Suppose $C \in \mathrm{NS}(S)$ is effective, nef and $(C \cdot C) = 0$. Then, for certain $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and an elliptic curve $E \in S$, we have $C = m[E]$.*

(3) *A linear system of an elliptic curve E on S determines an elliptic fibration $S \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proposition 5.2. *A P-marked $K3$ surface (S, ψ) is realized as an elliptic $K3$ surface which has $\psi^{-1}(F)$ as a general fibre. Especially, if S is realized as a $K3$ surface $S(\lambda, \mu)$ by the Kodaira normal form for some $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda$, it is a S-marked $K3$ surface.*

Proof. Set $C = \psi^{-1}(F) \in \mathrm{Div}(S)$. By Definition 5.3, C is effective, nef and $(C \cdot C) = 0$. According to Proposition 5.1 (2), there exists a positive integer m and an elliptic curve E such that $C = m[E]$. Since

$$m(E \cdot \psi^{-1}(O)) = (C \cdot \psi^{-1}(O)) = (F \cdot O) = 1,$$

we deduce that $m = 1$. Proposition 5.1 (3) says that there is an elliptic fibration $\pi : S \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ which has $C = \psi^{-1}(F)$ as a general fibre. □

Let X be the isomorphic classes of P-marked $K3$ surfaces and set

$$[X] = X/ \text{ P-marked equivalence.}$$

By (5.2), we obtain our period mapping $\Phi : X \to \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$.

Theorem 5.1. (The Torelli theorem for polarized $K3$ surfaces)

(1) $\Phi(X) \subset \mathcal{D}$.

(2) $\Phi : X \to \mathcal{D}$ *is a bijective correspondence.*

(3) *Let S_1 and S_2 be algebraic $K3$ surfaces. Suppose an isometry $\varphi : H_2(S_1, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(S_2, \mathbb{Z})$ preserves ample classes. Then there exists a biholomorphic map $f : S_1 \to S_2$ such that $\varphi = f_*$.*

Here, we prove the following two key lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *A P-marked $K3$ surface (S, ψ) is equivalent to the P-marked reference surface $(\check{S}, \check{\psi})$ if and only if $\Phi(S, \psi) = g \circ \Phi(\check{S}, \check{\psi})$ for some $g \in PO(A, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. The necessity is clear. We prove the sufficiency. Suppose $\Phi(\check{S}, \check{\psi}) = p \in \mathcal{D}$. Take $g \in PO(A, \mathbb{Z})$. According to Theorem 5.1 (2), we take a P-marked $K3$ surface (S_g, ψ_g) such that $\Phi(S_g, \psi_g) = g \circ \Phi(\check{S}, \check{\psi})$. Let L_t be the transcendental lattice given by (2.3). Note $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(L_t) = PO(A, \mathbb{Z})$. Due to Nikulin [Ni], $g : L_t \to L_t$ is extended to an isomorphism $\hat{g} : H_2(\check{S}, \mathbb{Z}) \to H_2(S_g, \mathbb{Z})$ which preserves the Néron-Severi lattice L. Then, by Theorem 5.1 (3), there is a biholomorphic mapping $f : \check{S} \to S_g$ such that $f_* = \hat{g}$. Therefore, two P-marked $K3$ surfaces $(\check{S}, \check{\psi})$ and (S_g, ψ_g) are equivalent. □

Remark 5.2. *$PO(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is a reflection group (see* [Ma]*).*

According to the Torelli theorem and Lemma 5.1, we identify $[X]$ with $\mathcal{D}/PO(A, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let (S, ψ) be a P-marked $K3$ surface which is equivalent to $(\check{S}, \check{\psi})$. Then (S, ψ) has a unique canonical elliptic fibration $(S, \pi, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ that is given by the Kodaira normal form of $\check{S} = S(\lambda_0, \mu_0)$ not coming from any other $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. From Proposition 5.2, (S, ψ) ($(\check{S}, \check{\psi})$, resp.) has an elliptic fibration $(S, \pi, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ ($(\check{S}, \check{\pi}, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$, resp.) with a general fibre $\psi^{-1}(F)$ ($\check{\psi}^{-1}(F)$, resp.). Because (S, ψ) and $(\check{S}, \check{\psi})$ are equivalent as P-marked $K3$ surfaces, we have a biholomorphic mapping $f : S \to \check{S}$ such that

$$\check{\psi} \circ f_* = \psi \quad (f_* : H_2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_2(\check{S}, \mathbb{Z})).$$

So, we have

$$f_* = \psi.$$

It means that f preserves general fibres of S and $\check{S}$. According to the uniqueness of the fibration (Lemma 2.1), $(S, \pi, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ and $(\check{S}, \check{\pi}, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ are isomorphic as elliptic surfaces. Therefore, there exists $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $\varphi \circ \pi = \pi_0 \circ f$.

Let $y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(z)x - g_3(z)$ ($y^2 = 4x^3 - \check{g}_2(z)x - \check{g}_3(z)$, resp.) be the Kodaira normal form of $(S, \pi, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$ $((\check{S}, \check{\pi}, \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}))$, resp.). According to Proposition 2.3, we assume $\pi^{-1}(0) = I_3$ and $\pi^{-1}(\infty) = I_{15}$. So as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, φ is given by $z \mapsto az$ ($a \in \mathbb{C} - 0$). Let j ($\check{j}$, resp.) be the j-invariant and D ($\check{D}$, resp.) be the discriminant of S ($\check{S}$, resp.). By Proposition 2.3, we have $D = \check{D} \circ \varphi$ and $j = \check{j} \circ \varphi$. Observing the expressions (1.11), (1.12) around $z = \infty$ and the definition of j-function (2.7), we have $a^3 = 1$. By the transformation $z \mapsto \omega z$ or $z \mapsto \bar{\omega} z$ (where ω is a cubic root of unity), we assume $a = 1$. Comparing j with $\check{j}$ and D with $\check{D}$, we have $g_2^3 = \check{g}_2^3$ and $g_3^2 = \check{g}_3^2$. By the transformations in the form $x \mapsto \omega x$ or $x \mapsto \bar{\omega} x$ or $y \mapsto -y$, we obtain $g_2 = \check{g}_2$ and $g_3 = \check{g}_3$. Hence, as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we have the required statement. □

Remark 5.3. *According to the above two lemmas, $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$ is embedded in $[X]$.*

5.2 Projective monodromy groups

Theorem 5.2. *The projective monodromy group of the period mapping $\Phi : \Lambda \to \mathcal{D}$ is isomorphic to $PO^+(A, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Let $* = (\lambda_0, \mu_0)$ be a generic point of Λ. Set $\check{S} = S(\lambda_0, \mu_0)$. Note that $\mathrm{NS}(\check{S}) \simeq L$. Let G be the projective monodromy group induced from the fundamental group $\pi_1(\Lambda, *)$ (see Definition 2.4). We have clearly the inclusion $G \subset PO^+(A, \mathbb{Z})$.

Therefore, we prove the converse inclusion $PO^+(A, \mathbb{Z}) \subset G$. Take an element $g \in PO^+(A, \mathbb{Z})$, and let $p = \Phi(\check{S}, \check{\psi}) \in \mathcal{D}$ and let $q = g(p) \in \mathcal{D}$. p, q are in the same connected component of $\mathcal{D}$. So we suppose that $p, q \in \mathcal{D}^+$. Let α be an arc connecting p and q in $\mathcal{D}^+$. By the Torelli theorem, we obtain $[\Phi^{-1}(\alpha)] \subset [X]$. By Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, we have $q = \Phi(\check{S}, \psi)$ so that $(\check{S}, \psi)$ is equivalent to $(\check{S}, \check{\psi})$. Hence, the end point of $[\Phi^{-1}(\alpha)]$ is (λ_0, μ_0).

Next, we show that there is α such that $[\Phi^{-1}(\alpha)] \subset \Lambda$. For this purpose, it is enough to show that Λ is a Zariski open set in some compactification K of $[X]$. Here, we note that the compact (λ, μ) space $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ and K are birationally equivalent and they contain Λ as a common open set. Λ is a Zariski open set in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$. Hence, Λ is Zariski open in K also. Therefore, we obtain the required inclusion. □

We have the elliptic fibration (1.16) ((1.23), (1.36), resp.) for $\mathcal{F}_1$ ($\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$, resp.). Using these fibrations, we can define the P-markings for $\mathcal{F}_j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$). Moreover, as we prove Lemma 5.2, so we can prove the corresponding lemmas through observations of the coefficients of the Kodaira normal forms of elliptic fibrations for $\mathcal{F}_j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$). Therefore, we have

Theorem 5.3. *Let $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The projective monodromy group of the period mapping for the family $\mathcal{F}_j$ is equal to $PO^+(A_j, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Remark 5.4. *This is essentially noticed in the research of Ishige* [I2] *on the family of $K3$ surfaces coming from the polytope P_4. He found this result by a computer-aided approximation of a generator system of the monodromy group. However, it is not given an exact error estimation there. So, for our cases P_0, P_1, P_2 and P_3, we give here a proof based on the Torelli theorem for polarized $K3$ surfaces.*

6 Period differential equation and the Hilbert modular orbifold for the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers in the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. Set $\mathbb{H}_\pm = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | \pm \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$. The Hilbert modular group $PSL(2, \mathcal{O})$ acts on $(\mathbb{H}_+ \times \mathbb{H}_+) \cup (\mathbb{H}_- \times \mathbb{H}_-)$ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} : (z_1, z_2) \mapsto \Big(\frac{\alpha z_1 + \beta}{\gamma z_1 + \delta}, \frac{\alpha' z_2 + \beta'}{\gamma' z_2 + \delta'} \Big),$$

for $g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in PSL(2, \mathcal{O})$, where $'$ means the conjugate in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$.

Set

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ (1-\sqrt{5})/2 & (1+\sqrt{5})/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It holds

$$A = A_0 = U \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix} = U \oplus WU^tW.$$

The correspondence

$$(z_1, z_2) \to (I_2 \oplus {}^tW^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} z_1 z_2 \\ -1 \\ z_1 \\ z_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a biholomorphic isomorphism

$$\iota : (\mathbb{H}_+ \times \mathbb{H}_+) \cup (\mathbb{H}_- \times \mathbb{H}_-) \to \mathcal{D}.$$

Setting

$$\begin{cases} \tau : (z_1, z_2) \to (z_2, z_1), \\ \tau' : (z_1, z_2) \to \left(\dfrac{1}{z_1}, \dfrac{1}{z_2}\right), \end{cases}$$

we set

$$\rho(g) = \iota \circ g \circ \iota^{-1}$$

for $g \in \langle PSL(2, \mathcal{O}), \tau, \tau' \rangle$. We have $\rho(\langle PSL(2, \mathcal{O}), \tau, \tau' \rangle) = PO(A, \mathbb{Z})$. Put $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_+$. The pair (ι, ρ) gives a modular isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H}, \langle PSL(2, \mathcal{O}), \tau \rangle) \simeq (\mathcal{D}_+, PO^+(A, \mathbb{Z})). \tag{6.1}$$

There are several researches on the Hilbert modular orbifolds for the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. Hirzebruch [H] studied the orbifold $(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H})/\langle \Gamma, \tau \rangle$ (the group Γ is given in (6.3)). There, he used Klein's icosahedral polynomials. Kobayashi, Kushibiki and Naruki [KKN] studied the orbifold $(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2, \mathcal{O}), \tau \rangle$ and determined its branch divisor in terms of the icosahedral invariants. Sato [Sa] gave the uniformizing differential equation (see Definition 6.3) of the orbifold $(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2, \mathcal{O}), \tau \rangle$.

Because of the modular isomorphism (6.1) and Theorem 5.2, our period differential equation (4.7) for the family $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{S_0(\lambda, \mu)\}$ should be connected to the uniformizing differential equation of the orbifold $(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2, \mathcal{O}), \tau \rangle$.

In this section, we realize the explicit relation between our period differential equation and the uniformizing differential equation of the orbifold $(\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2, \mathcal{O}), \tau \rangle$. We give the exact birational transformation (6.10) from our (λ, μ)-space to (x, y)-space, where (x, y) are affine coordinates expressed by Klein's icosahedral polynomials in (6.4). Moreover, we show that the uniformizing differential equation with the normalization factor (6.14) coincides with our period differential equation (4.7).

6.1 Linear differential equations in 2 variables of rank 4

First, we survey the study of Sasaki and Yoshida [SY]. It supplies a fundamental tool for the research on uniformizing differential equations of the Hilbelt modular orbifolds.

We consider a system of linear differential equations

$$\begin{cases} Z_{XX} = lZ_{XY} + aZ_X + bZ_Y + pZ, \\ Z_{YY} = mZ_{XY} + cZ_X + dZ_Y + qZ, \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

where (X, Y) are independent variables and Z is the unknown. We assume its space of solutions is 4-dimensional.

Definition 6.1. *We call the symmetric* 2*-tensor*

$$l(dX)^2 + 2(dX)(dY) + m(dY)^2$$

the holomorphic conformal structure of (6.2) *.*

Remark 6.1. *The above symmetric* 2*-tensor is equal to the holomorphic conformal structure of the complex surface patch embedded in* $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ *defined by the projective solution of* (6.2)*.*

Definition 6.2. *Let* Z_0, Z_1, Z_2 *and* Z_3 *be linearly independent solutions of* (6.2)*. Put* $Z = {}^t(Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3)$*. The function*

$$e^{2\theta} = \det(Z, Z_X, Z_Y, Z_{XY})$$

is called the normalization factor of (6.2)*.*

Proposition 6.1. ([SY] Proposition 4.1, see also [Sa] p.181) *The surface patch by the projective solution of* (6.2) *is a part of non degenerate quadratic surface in* $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$ *if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} a = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial X}\Big(\dfrac{1}{4}\xi + \theta\Big) - \dfrac{l}{2}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial Y}\Big(\log(l) - \dfrac{1}{4}\xi + \theta\Big), \\ b = \dfrac{l}{2}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial X}\Big(\log(l) - \dfrac{3}{4}\xi - \theta\Big), \\ c = \dfrac{m}{2}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial Y}\Big(\log(m) - \dfrac{3}{4}\xi - \theta\Big), \\ d = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial Y}\Big(\dfrac{1}{4}\xi + \theta\Big) - \dfrac{m}{2}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial X}\Big(\log(m) - \dfrac{1}{4}\xi + \theta\Big), \end{cases}$$

where $\xi = \log(1 - lm)$*.*

Proposition 6.2. ([SY] Section 3) *Perform a coordinate change of the equation* (6.2) *from* (X, Y) *to* (U, V) *and denote the coefficients of the transformed equation by the same letter with bars. Then*

$$\begin{cases} \overline{l} = -\lambda/\nu, \quad \overline{m} = -\mu/\nu, \\ \overline{a} = (R(U)\beta - S(U)\alpha)/\nu, \quad \overline{b} = (R(V)\beta - S(V)\alpha)/\nu, \\ \overline{c} = (S(U)\gamma - R(U)\delta))/\nu, \quad \overline{d} = (S(V)\gamma - R(V)\delta)/\nu, \\ \overline{p} = (\alpha q - \beta p)/\nu, \quad \overline{q} = (\delta p - \gamma q)/\nu, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Delta = U_X V_Y - U_Y V_X, \\ \lambda = l V_Y^2 - 2 V_X V_Y + m V_X^2, \\ \mu = l U_Y^2 - 2 U_X U_Y + m U_X^2, \\ \nu = l U_Y V_Y - U_X V_Y - U_Y V_X + m U_X V_X, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = (V_X^2 - l V_X V_Y)/\Delta, \quad \beta = (V_Y^2 - m V_X V_Y)/\Delta, \\ \gamma = (U_X^2 - l U_X U_Y)/\Delta, \quad \delta = (U_Y^2 - m U_X U_Y)/\Delta, \\ R(U) = U_{XX} - (l U_{XY} + a U_X + b U_Y), \\ S(U) = U_{YY} - (m U_{XY} + c U_X + d U_Y), \\ R(V) = V_{XX} - (l V_{XY} + a V_X + b V_Y), \\ S(V) = V_{YY} - (m V_{XY} + c V_X + d V_Y). \end{cases}$$

6.2 Uniformizing differential equation of the Hilbert modular orbifold $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$

The quotient space $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$ carries the structure of an orbifold.Let us sum up the facts about the orbifold $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$ and the result of Sato [Sa] on the uniformizing differential equation.

Set

$$\Gamma=\Big\{\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix}\in PSL(2,\mathcal{O})\Big|\ \alpha\equiv\delta\equiv 1,\ \beta\equiv\gamma\equiv 0 \pmod{\sqrt{5}}\Big\}. \tag{6.3}$$

Γ is a normal subgroup of $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})$. The quotient group $PSL(2,\mathcal{O})/\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the alternating group $\mathcal{A}_5$ of degree 5. $\mathcal{A}_5$ is isomorphic to the icosahedral group I. Let $\overline{M}$ be a compactification of an orbifold M. Hirzebruch [H] showed that $\overline{\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H}/\langle\Gamma,\tau\rangle}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore, $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ admits an action of the alternating group $\mathcal{A}_5$. This action is equal to the action of the icosahedral group I on $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ introduced by F. Klein. We list Klein's I-invariant polynomials on $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})=\{(\zeta_0:\zeta_1:\zeta_2)\}$:

$$\begin{cases}
\mathfrak{A}(\zeta_0:\zeta_1:\zeta_2)=\zeta_0^2+\zeta_1\zeta_2,\\
\mathfrak{B}(\zeta_0:\zeta_1:\zeta_2)=8\zeta_0^4\zeta_1\zeta_2-2\zeta_0^2\zeta_1^2\zeta_2^2+\zeta_1^3\zeta_2^3-\zeta_0(\zeta_1^5+\zeta_2^5),\\
\mathfrak{C}(\zeta_0:\zeta_1:\zeta_2)=320\zeta_0^6\zeta_1^2\zeta_2^2-160\zeta_0^4\zeta_1^3\zeta_2^3+20\zeta_0^2\zeta_1^4\zeta_2^4+6\zeta_1^5\zeta_2^5\\
\qquad\qquad -4\zeta_0(\zeta_1^5+\zeta_2^5)(32\zeta_0^4-20\zeta_0^2\zeta_1\zeta_5+5\zeta_1^2\zeta_2^2)+\zeta_1^{10}+\zeta_2^{10},\\
12\mathfrak{D}(\zeta_0:\zeta_1:\zeta_2)=(\zeta_1^5-\zeta_2^5)(-1024\zeta_0^{10}+3840\zeta_0^8\zeta_1\zeta_2-3840\zeta_0^6\zeta_1^2\zeta_2^2\\
\qquad\qquad +1200\zeta_0^4\zeta_1^3\zeta_2^3-100\zeta_0^2\zeta_1^4\zeta_2^4+\zeta_1^5\zeta_2^5)\\
\qquad\qquad +\zeta_0(\zeta_1^{10}-\zeta_2^{10})(352\zeta_0^4-160\zeta_0^2\zeta_1\zeta_2+10\zeta_1^2\zeta_2^2)+(\zeta_1^{15}-\zeta_2^{15}).
\end{cases}$$

We have the following relation:

$$144\mathfrak{D}^2=-1728\mathfrak{B}^5+720\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{B}^3-80\mathfrak{A}^2\mathfrak{C}^2\mathfrak{B}+64\mathfrak{A}^3(5\mathfrak{B}^2-\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{C})^2+\mathfrak{C}^3.$$

Kobayashi, Kushibiki and Naruki [KKN] showed that a compactification $\overline{(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$. Let

$$\varphi:\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})=\overline{(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle\Gamma,\tau\rangle}\to\overline{(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle}=\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$$

be a rational mapping defined by

$$(\zeta_0:\zeta_1:\zeta_2)\mapsto(\mathfrak{A}^5:\mathfrak{A}^2\mathfrak{B}:\mathfrak{C}).$$

φ is a holomorphic mapping of $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})-\{A=0\}$ to $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})-$(a line at infinity L_∞) $\subset\overline{(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle}$. Set

$$x=\frac{\mathfrak{B}}{\mathfrak{A}^3},\quad y=\frac{\mathfrak{C}}{\mathfrak{A}^5}. \tag{6.4}$$

x and y are the affine coordinates identifying $(1:x:y)\in\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})-L_\infty$ with $(x,y)\in\mathbb{C}^2$.

Proposition 6.3. ([KKN]) *The branch locus of the orbifold* $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$ *in* $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})-L_\infty=\mathbb{C}^2$ *is, using the affine coordinates* (6.4)*,*

$$D=y(1728x^5-720x^3y+80xy^2-64(5x^2-y)^2-y^3)=0$$

of index 2. *The orbifold structure on* $\overline{(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle}$ *is given by* $(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}),2D+\infty L_\infty)$.

We note that $\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H}$ is embedded in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})\times\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ which is isomorphic to a non-degenerate quadric surface in $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C})$. Let $\pi:\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H}\to(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$ be the canonical projection. The multivalued inverse mapping π^{-1} is called the developing map of the orbifold $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$.

Definition 6.3. *Let us consider a system of linear differential equations on the orbifold* $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$ *with* 4*-dimensional space of solutions. Let* z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3 *be linearly independent solutions of the system. If*

$$(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle \to \mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{C}) : p \mapsto (z_0(p) : z_1(p) : z_2(p) : z_3(p))$$

gives the developing map of the orbifold $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$*, we call this system the uniformizing differential equation of the orbifold.*

From Proposition 6.3, T. Sato obtained the following result.

Theorem 6.1. ([Sa] Example. 4) *The holomorphic conformal structure of the uniformizing differential equation of the orbifold* $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$ *is*

$$\frac{-20(4x^2+3xy-4y)}{36x^2-32x-y}(dx)^2+2(dx)(dy)+\frac{-2(54x^3-50x^2-3xy+2y)}{5y(36x^2-32x-y)}(dy)^2, \tag{6.5}$$

where (x,y) *is the affine coordinates in* (6.4) *.*

Let

$$\begin{cases} z_{xx} = lz_{xy}+az_x+bz_y+pz, \\ z_{yy} = mz_{xy}+cz_x+dz_y+qz \end{cases} \tag{6.6}$$

be the uniformizing differential equation of $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$, where (x,y) is the affine coordinates in (6.4). We already obtained the coefficients l and m (see Definition 6.1 and Theorem 6.1). If the normalization factor of (6.6) is given, the coefficients a, b, c and d are determined by Proposition 6.1. The other coefficients p and q are determined by the integrability condition of (6.6).

Remark 6.2. *Sato* [Sa] *determined the uniformizing differential equation of* $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$

$$\begin{cases} z_{xx} = lz_{xy}+a_sz_x+b_sz_y+p_sz, \\ z_{yy} = mz_{xy}+c_sz_x+d_sz_y+q_sz \end{cases}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} a_s(x,y)=\dfrac{-20(3x-2)}{36x^2-32x-y}, \quad b_s(x,y)=\dfrac{-10(8x+3y)}{36x^2-32x-y}, \\ c_s(x,y)=\dfrac{3x-2}{5y(36x^2-32x-y)}, \quad d_s(x,y)=\dfrac{-198x^2+180x+7y}{5y(36x^2-32x-y)}, \\ p_s(x,y)=\dfrac{-3}{(36x^2-32x-y)}, \quad q_s(x,y)=\dfrac{3}{100y(36x^2-32x-y)}. \end{cases}$$

Here, the normalization factor

$$e^{2\theta}=\frac{-36x^2+32x+y}{y^{1/2}(1728x^5-720x^3y+80xy^2-64(5x^2-y)^2-y^3))^{3/2}}. \tag{6.7}$$

exactly corresponds to the above data a_s, b_s, c_s, d_s, p_s *and* q_s*. It should coincides with the original normalization factor in* [Sa] *p.185, because Sato used the above data. However, it is not the case. We suppose there would be contained some typos in the original one.*

6.3 Exact relation between period differential equation and unifomizing differential equation

The modular isomorphism (6.1) implies that our period differential equation (4.7) should be related to the uniformizing differential equation of the orbifold $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$. In this subsection, we show that the holomorphic conformal structure of (4.7) is transformed to (6.5) in Theorem 6.1 by an explicit birational transformation. Moreover, we determine a normalization factor which is different from that of Sato's (6.7). The uniformizing differential equation of the orbifold $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$ with our normalizing factor corresponds to the period differential equation (4.7).

Proposition 6.4. *The period differential equation* (4.7) *is represented in the form*

$$\begin{cases} z_{\lambda\lambda} = l_0 z_{\lambda\mu} + a_0 z_\lambda + b_0 z_\mu + p_0 z, \\ z_{\mu\mu} = m_0 z_{\lambda\mu} + c_0 z_\lambda + d_0 z_\mu + q_0 z \end{cases} \tag{6.8}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} l_0 = \dfrac{2\mu(-1+15\lambda+100\lambda^2)}{\lambda+16\lambda^2-80\lambda^3+125\mu}, \quad m_0 = \dfrac{2(\lambda^2-8\lambda^3+16\lambda^4+5\mu-50\lambda\mu)}{\mu(\lambda+16\lambda^2-80\lambda^3+125\mu)}, \\ a_0 = \dfrac{(-1+10\lambda)(1+20\lambda)}{\lambda+16\lambda^2-80\lambda^3+125\mu}, \quad b_0 = \dfrac{5\mu(3+40\lambda)}{\lambda+16\lambda^2-80\lambda^3+125\mu}, \\ c_0 = -\dfrac{5(-1+10\lambda)}{\mu(\lambda+16\lambda^2-80\lambda^3+125\mu)}, \quad d_0 = \dfrac{-\lambda-20\lambda^2+96\lambda^3-200\mu}{\mu(\lambda+16\lambda^2-80\lambda^3+125\mu)}, \\ p_0 = \dfrac{2(1+20\lambda)}{\lambda+16\lambda^2-80\lambda^3+125\mu}, \quad q_0 = -\dfrac{10}{\mu(\lambda+16\lambda^2-80\lambda^3+125\mu)}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Straightforward calculation. □

Especially, the holomorphic conformal structure of the period differential equation (4.7) is

$$\frac{2\mu(-1+15\lambda+100\lambda^2)}{\lambda+16\lambda^2-80\lambda^3+125\mu}(d\lambda)^2 + 2(d\lambda)(d\mu) + \frac{2(\lambda^2-8\lambda^3+16\lambda^4+5\mu-50\lambda\mu)}{\mu(\lambda+16\lambda^2-80\lambda^3+125\mu)}(d\mu)^2. \tag{6.9}$$

Theorem 6.2. *Set a birational transformation*

$$f : (\lambda,\mu) \mapsto (x,y) = \Big(\frac{25\mu}{2(\lambda-1/4)^3}, -\frac{3125\mu^2}{(\lambda-1/4)^5}\Big) \tag{6.10}$$

from (λ,μ)*-space to* (x,y)*-space. The holomorphic conformal structure* (6.9) *is transformed to the holomorphic conformal structure* (6.5) *by* f*.*

Proof. The inverse f^{-1} is given by

$$\lambda(x,y) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{y}{20x^2}, \qquad \mu(x,y) = -\frac{y^3}{10^5 x^5}. \tag{6.11}$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} l_0(\lambda(x,y),\mu(x,y)) = \dfrac{-y^2(4x^2-y)(9x^2-y)}{250x^3(240x^4-88x^2y+8y^2-xy^2)}, \\ m_0(\lambda(x,y),\mu(x,y)) = \dfrac{-4000x^3(100x^4-40x^2y+3x^3y+4y^2-xy^2)}{y^2(240x^4-88x^2y+8y^2-xy^2)}. \end{cases} \tag{6.12}$$

By (6.10) and (6.11), we have

$$x_\lambda = \frac{60x^3}{y}, \quad y_\lambda = 100x^2, \quad x_\mu = -\frac{10^5x^6}{y^3}, \quad y_\mu = -\frac{2\cdot 10^5 x^5}{y^2}. \tag{6.13}$$

From (6.12) and (6.13) and Proposition 6.2, by the birational transformation $f : (\lambda,\mu) \mapsto (x,y)$, the coefficients l_0 and m_0 are transformed to

$$\overline{l_0} = \frac{-20(4x^2+3xy-4y)}{36x^2-32x-y}, \quad \overline{m_0} = \frac{-2(54x^3-50x^2-3xy+2y)}{5y(36x^2-32x-y)}.$$

These are equal to the coefficients of the holomorphic conformal structure (6.5). Therefore, the holomorphic conformal structure (6.9) is transformed to (6.5). □

Remark 6.3. *The birational transformation* (6.10) *is obtained as the composition of certain birational transformations. First, blow up at* $(\lambda,\mu)=(1/4,0)\in$ *((*λ,μ*)-space) three times:* $(\lambda,\mu)\mapsto(\lambda,u_1)=\Big(\lambda,\dfrac{\mu}{\lambda-1/4}\Big)$, $(\lambda,u_1)\mapsto(\lambda,u_2)=\Big(\lambda,\dfrac{u_1}{\lambda-1/4}\Big)$, $(\lambda,u_2)\mapsto(\lambda,u_3)=\Big(\lambda,\dfrac{u_2}{\lambda-1/4}\Big)$. *Cancel* λ *by* $\lambda=\dfrac{u_2}{u_3}+\dfrac{1}{4}$. *Then, we have the following birational transformation:*

$$\psi_0:(\lambda,\mu)\mapsto(u_2,u_3)=\Big(\frac{\mu}{(\lambda-1/4)^2},\frac{\mu}{(\lambda-1/4)^3}\Big).$$

(Its inverse is given by

$$\psi_0^{-1}:(u_2,u_3)\mapsto(\lambda,\mu)=\Big(\frac{u_2}{u_3}+\frac{1}{4},\frac{u_2^3}{u_3^2}\Big).)$$

On the other hand, blow up at $(x,y)=(0,0)\in$ *((*x,y*)-space):*

$$\psi_1:(x,y)\mapsto(x,s)=\Big(x,\frac{y}{x}\Big).$$

(Its inverse is given by

$$\psi_1^{-1}:(x,s)\mapsto(x,y)=(x,xs).)$$

Moreover, we define the holomorphic mapping

$$\chi:(u_2,u_3)\mapsto(x,s)=\Big(\frac{25}{2}u_3,-250u_2\Big).$$

We have $f=\psi_1^{-1}\circ\chi\circ\psi_0$.

Instead the normalization factor (6.7) used by Sato, that is referred in Remark 6.2, we need a new normalization factor (6.14). Together with the conformal structure coming from $(l_1,m_1)=(l,m)$, we obtain the new uniformizing differential equation which we are looking for.

Proposition 6.5. *The uniformizing differential equation of the orbifold* $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$ *with the normalization factor*

$$e^{2\theta}=\frac{x^4(-36x^2+32x+y)}{y^{5/2}(1728x^5-720x^3y+80xy^2-64(5x^2-y)^2-y^3)^{3/2}}\tag{6.14}$$

is

$$\begin{cases} z_{xx}=l_1z_{xy}+a_1z_x+b_1z_y+p_1z,\\ z_{yy}=m_1z_{xy}+c_1z_x+d_1z_y+q_1z\end{cases}\tag{6.15}$$

with

$$\begin{cases} l_1=\dfrac{-20(4x^2+3xy-4y)}{36x^2-32x-y},\quad m_1=\dfrac{-2(54x^3-50x^2-3xy+2y)}{5y(36x^2-32x-y)},\\ a_1=\dfrac{-2(20x^3-8xy+9x^2y+y^2)}{xy(36x^2-32x-y)},\quad b_1=\dfrac{10y(-8+3x)}{x(36x^2-32x-y)},\\ c_1=\dfrac{-2(-25x^2+27x^3+2y-3xy)}{5y^2(36x^2-32x-y)},\quad d_1=\dfrac{-2(-120x^2+135x^3-2y-3xy)}{5xy(36x^2-32x-y)}\\ p_1=\dfrac{-2(8x-y)}{x^2(36x^2-32x-y)},\quad q_1=\dfrac{-2(-10+9x)}{25xy(36x^2-32x-y)}.\end{cases}$$

Proof. l_1 and m_1 are given in Theorem 6.1. According to Proposition 6.1, the other coefficients are determined by l_1,m_1 and θ in (6.14). □

Theorem 6.3. *By the birational transformation* f *in* (6.10)*, our period differential equation* (6.8) *is transformed to the uniformizing differential equation* (6.15) *of the orbifold* $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{cases} a_0(\lambda(x,y),\mu(x,y)) = \dfrac{400x^2(3x^2-y)(6x^2-y)}{y(240x^4-88x^2y+8y^2-xy^2)}, \\ b_0(\lambda(x,y),\mu(x,y)) = \dfrac{-y^2(13x^2-2y)}{25x(240x^4-88x^2y+8y^2-xy^2)}, \\ c_0(\lambda(x,y),\mu(x,y)) = \dfrac{2\cdot 10^8x^9(3x^2-y)}{y^4(240x^4-88x^2y+8y^2-xy^2),} \\ d_0(\lambda(x,y),\mu(x,y)) = \dfrac{160000x^5(175x^4-65x^2y+6y^2-xy^2)}{y^3(240x^4-88x^2y+8y^2-xy^2)}, \\ p_0(\lambda(x,y),\mu(x,y)) = \dfrac{1600x^4(6x^2-y)}{y(240x^4-88x^2y+8y^2-xy^2)}, \\ q_0(\lambda(x,y),\mu(x,y)) = \dfrac{8\cdot 10^8x^{11}}{y^4(240x^4-88x^2y+8y^2-xy^2)}. \end{cases} \tag{6.16}$$

By (6.10) and (6.11), we have

$$\begin{cases} x_{\lambda\lambda} = \dfrac{4800x^5}{y^2}, \quad y_{\lambda\lambda} = \dfrac{12000x^4}{y}, \quad x_{\mu\mu} = 0, \\ y_{\mu\mu} = \dfrac{2\cdot 10^{10}x^{10}}{y^5}, \quad x_{\lambda\mu} = \dfrac{-6\cdot 10^6x^8}{y^4}, \quad y_{\lambda\mu} = \dfrac{-2\cdot 10^7x^7}{y^3}. \end{cases} \tag{6.17}$$

From (6.12), (6.13), (6.16) and (6.17) and Proposition 6.2, by the birational transformation $f:(\lambda,\mu)\mapsto(x,y)$, the coefficients a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0, p_0 and q_0 are transformed to

$$\begin{cases} \overline{a_0} = \dfrac{-2(20x^3-8xy+9x^2y+y^2)}{xy(36x^2-32x-y)}, \quad \overline{b_0} = \dfrac{10y(-8+3x)}{x(36x^2-32x-y)}, \\ \overline{c_0} = \dfrac{-2(-25x^2+27x^3+2y-3xy)}{5y^2(36x^2-32x-y)}, \quad \overline{d_0} = \dfrac{-2(-120x^2+135x^3-2y-3xy)}{5xy(36x^2-32x-y)}, \\ \overline{p_0} = \dfrac{-2(8x-y)}{x^2(36x^2-32x-y)}, \quad \overline{q_0} = \dfrac{-2(-10+9x)}{25xy(36x^2-32x-y)}. \end{cases}$$

These are equal to the coefficients of (6.15). □

Therefore, the uniformizing differential equation of the orbifold $(\mathbb{H}\times\mathbb{H})/\langle PSL(2,\mathcal{O}),\tau\rangle$ with the normalization factor (6.14) is connected to our family $\mathcal{F}_0=\{S_0(\lambda,\mu)\}$ of $K3$ surfaces.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Hironori Shiga for various advices and suggestions. He is grateful to Professor Kimio Ueno for his comments and encouragement. He is thankful to Professor Takeshi Sasaki for the suggestion about uniformizing differential equations. He is also indebted to Toshimasa Ishige for his useful comments based on his pioneering and eager research. The author also would like to express his gratitude to Professor Kazushi Ueda for information about these errors.

References

[Ba] V. V. Batyrev, *Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties,* J. Algebraic Geometry **3**, 1994, 493-535.

[Be] S. M. Belcastro, *Picard lattices of families of $K3$ surfaces,* Comm. Algebra **30 (1)**, 2002, 61-82.

[H] F. Hirzebruch, *The ring of Hilbret modular forms for real quadratic fields of small discriminant,* Lecture Notes in Math. **627**, Springer-Verlag, 1977, 287-323.

[I1] T. Ishige, *An isomorphic correspondence between the Hilbert modular group and the unimodular group of a domain of Type IV,* Technical Report, Chiba Univ., 2008.

[I2] T. Ishige, *A Family of K3 Surfaces connected with the Hilbert Modular Group for $\sqrt{2}$ and the GKZ Hypergeometric Differential Equation,* preprint, 2010.

[KKN] R. Kobayashi, K. Kushibiki and I. Naruki, *Polygons and Hilbert modular groups,* Tohoku Math. **41**, 1989, 633-646.

[Kod] K. Kodaira, *On compact analytic surfaces II, III,* Ann. Math., **77**, 1963, 563-626; **78**, 1963, 1-40; Collected Workes III, 1269-1372, Iwanami and Princeton Univ. Press, 1975.

[Koi] K. Koike, *$K3$ surfaces induced from polytopes,* Master Thesis, Chiba Univ., 1998.

[KSTT] K. Koike, H. Shiga, N. Takayama and T. Tsutsui, *Study on the family of $K3$ surfaces induced from the lattice $(D_4)^3 \oplus \langle -2 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle$,* Internat. J. Math., **12**, No. 9, 2001, 1049-1085.

[KS] M. Kreuzer and H. Sharke, *Classification of Reflexive Polyhedra in Three Dimensions,* Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., **2**, 847-864.

[Ma] K. Matsumoto, *A system of generators of $O_H(\mathbb{Z})$,* preprint, 2009.

[Mo] D. R. Morrison, *On $K3$ surfaces with large Picard number*, Invent. Math. **75**, 1984, 105-121.

[Ni] V. V. Nikulin, *Integral symmetric bilinear forms and some of their applications,* Math. USSR Izv., **14**, 1980, No.1, 103-167.

[Ne] A. Néron, *Modèles minimaux des variétés abéliennes sur les corps locaux er globaux,* Publ. Math. I. H. E. S., **21**, 1964.

[Od] T. Oda, *Convex Bodies and Algebraic Geometry,* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, **3**, vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[Ot] M. Otsuka, *3-dimensional reflexive polytopes,* Master Thesis, Chiba Univ., 1998.

[PS] I. I. Pjateckiĭ-Šapiro and I. R. Šafarevič, *A Torelli theorem for algebraic surfaces of type $K3$,* Math. USSR Izv. **5**(3), 1971, 547-588.

[SY] T. Sasaki and M. Yoshida, *Linear differential equations in two variables of rank four I-II,* Math. Ann. **282**, 1988, 69-111.

[Sa] T. Sato, *Uniformizing differential equations of several Hilbert modular orbifolds,* Math. Ann. **291**, 1991, 179-189.

[Shg] H. Shiga, *One Attempt to the K3 modular function III,* Technical Report, Chiba Univ., 2010.

[Sho1] T. Shioda, On elliptic modular surfaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 1972, **24**, 20-59.

[Sho2] T. Shioda, Mordell-Weil lattice no riron to sono ouyou (in Japanese), Todai seminary note, Univ. of Tokyo.

[St] J. Stienstra, *A^1-hypergeometric functions, A-discriminants and Fiber polytopes,* seminar on hypergeometric functions Utrecht, February 23 and March 2, 1993.

[TN] N. Takayama and M. Nakayama, *Computing differential equations for integrals associated to smooth Fano polytopes,* preprint, arXiv:1012.5353vl, 2010.

Atsuhira Nagano
c.o. Prof. Kimio Ueno, Department of Mathematics
Waseda University
Okubo 3-4-1, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, 169-8555
Japan
(E-mail: atsuhira.nagano@gmail.com)